ANXIETY

Before I broke the window I was always on the inside looking out—words excised from a notebook and ignored till now, till I look out a window at this time of year, cracked panes jangling in the frame the frame itself jarred by the first cold wind to lift from the river winter oppressing me on schedule as it always does, these words flags snapping at the Turkish embassy two doors down, twigs fragmenting in the cold, the first shattered crimson leaves already below my window, my shadow from this lit room anticipating war, in Kurdistan perhaps, darkness cast across the premature snow. Before I broke the window I was always on the inside looking out thinking I had inside knowledge, thinking I had something special or nothing much to say about what would change how things were words cutting my wrist as I broke through the pane with my fist doubt lodging instant shards below the skin, and numbness. Every window that window. The anxiety. The severed nerve. The river below exposed as a throat, its argentine integument taut as a sail resonant with storm, dark clouds of algae flying to the surface, mottled imperfections swirling into whatever ripens and gets reflected back, my eyes never once clear and undisturbed. A child face down in snow in Kurdistan, blood pouring from his mouth. Before I broke the window I was always on the inside looking out nothing let in until the airless seasonal rage of the unloved lacerates destiny with sudden subconscious force, the numb reality of wind. If I could, in my arms I would gather up that child and run for cover across every artificial border, these words I cannot forget, impossible bandages for his mortal wounds.
rob mcalennon: From what I know of your history, you started out in Calgary, schooled at the University of Victoria, and at some point, arrived in Ottawa. After such shifts—and various travel you’ve done since across the continent and beyond—what sort of impact (if any) has the change in geography had on your work?

John Barton: Yes, I grew up in Calgary, though I was born in Edmonton, where my family lived until I was four and a half. I left home when I was 18, moving back to Edmonton for three years, before moving to Victoria to complete my undergraduate studies with Robin Skelton in what was then known as the Department of Creative Writing. I also lived in New York for a few months, where I enrolled in graduate studies at Columbia University. After that, I moved back west for a year to finish my book on Emily Carr, before I changed direction entirely and moved to London, Ontario, to obtain a degree in library science and a meal ticket, which brought me to Ottawa in 1986, where I have lived, worked, and written ever since.

I believe we are imprinted by the landscape of our childhoods, and because the world of a child is quite small, the landscape is similarly circumscribed, though its resonance, felt through the rest of our lives, is unending. I grew up on what was then the western edge of Calgary, near the university, with a view of the Rocky Mountains from my bedroom window. The drive from Calgary to Banff is one that will always haunt me. Every time I return home for a visit, I like to take Highway 1A west, which is a few miles north of the four-lane, much faster Trans-Canada. It is one of the most compelling, emotionally stirring trips I can make anywhere, largely, I suppose, because it links me to my childhood and the deep sense of connection I felt unconsciously with that landscape growing up. That drive is everywhere in my poems, even if not explicitly referenced. My family would go on long, meandering back-road Sunday drives through the Eastern slopes, with me looking out the window and daydreaming in the backseat. To children, their little bit of the world is The World as far as they are concerned. To me, looking westward towards distant mountains is visceral and defines Calgary and Alberta for me. Yet, not everyone feels this way. Bruce Hunter, who now lives in Toronto, grew up on the eastern side of the city, which spreads into the flat infinite space of the prairie, a world of sunrise. Mine is one of sunset. A book of his short stories that I read several years ago is completely focused on the prairie’s dry expansiveness and on the river that flows eastward through the city into it.

I wrote in the title poem of Notes Toward a Family Tree (which is a poem about a friend of mine who felt a deep connection with Africa) that I return always to my first measures. The landscape of my childhood, rimmed on the west by the Rockies, with the foothills rising towards them, is the one I compare all my subsequent landscapes to—even if I make no direct mention of it in any of the poems I write about other places. Landforms, city streets, streams, bodies of water stir me deeply. I find writing about them exhumes lots of material, whether it is my personal history, Canadian history, conflicts in culture, literary history, or the history of the language itself. I suppose space is time, to border on cliche, and as I spend more time in any one particular locale, I experience it and the many connections it suggests to other things and other places—and from this experience many poems are drawn.

Over the years travel has inspired me enormously, but I have no real desire to write so-called travel poems. I love the variety of place that traveling creates, but I dislike the idea of collecting and writing about places in the way so many people collect
customs stamps in their passports. To me this avariciousness seems very superficial. I am much more interested in how a place affects me and how its history can intersect with mine, personal and cultural. Certainly, diverse landscapes provide surface variation to what I write, which is a pleasure to me in itself, but I have no interest of writing about a particular locale merely to be able to say I have done so. That would be to reduce the poem to the level of having my picture taken with one of the Horse Guards in front of Buckingham Palace.

**rm:** I love the differences of that, a prairie of sunrises against a prairie of sunsets. Still, even had you lived in the same house, with the same window view, between the two of you as writers, there would have been differences. What sort of things impacted early on in how you constructed a poem. And really, why poetry?

**JB:** Yes, Bruce and I would likely have written very different poems if we had grown up together in the same house and observed the same things. However, we might have shared a few things in common. My point was that what shapes and imprints us is very localized. For most of my formative years, my world was very small in its physical footprint, however big it was in scope. I think we are all very primitive, very tribal. I read somewhere that at any one time that we have circle of intimates and acquaintances of about 150 people. Who composes it may change but the number does not. This is the size of a hamlet. I now see the part of Calgary that I grew up in as a village wholly connected to and shaped by its environment, which was westward-looking and mountain-oriented.

What affected me early on? Like many young writers, I was attracted to poetry as a means of expressing myself, a permissible way of emoting. So, my first, now lost poems were merely transcripts of what I felt, unshaped by any literary influence. However, in Grade 11, which would be in 1973/74, my English class was taught by the music teacher. Her approach at teaching in a discipline outside her own was to have us read one book per month and then submit a paper about it. She would have us read in a different genre each month, and one month she asked us to pick a Canadian title. I chose Atwood’s *Surfacing,* which I found on a paperback spin-rack in the drugstore at the Brentwood Village Mall, near where I grew up. This particular edition was published by Paperjacks, which was an early attempt at mass paperback publishing in order to give Canadian authors wider circulation. Well, that format did what it was intended to—it reached me, a suburban boy in Alberta. That book changed my life and made me a writer. For some reason, I felt as if I were reading something written in my own voice for the first time, or a voice that was like mine, voicing my concerns. I suppose I identified with the alienation, anger and mordant humour in Atwood’s position. She wrote about the wilderness, about things that were identifiable to me. It had a huge impact. I loved the fact that the protagonist was unnamed, so when I wrote my book report, I referred to her, as Atwood did, as ‘she,’ much to the confusion of my teacher. *Surfacing* led me to Atwood’s poetry, which caused me to browse the poetry sections of bookstores. I began to read Sylvia Plath, whose intensity and vivid imagery I loved, and then Anne Sexton. Plath
continues to be a poet I admire; both she and Atwood (the early Atwood, the pre-You Are Happy Atwood) influenced how I broke lines and perceived diction. Plath, especially the Plath who wrote Ariel, influenced how I approached the stanza and how line breaks and stanza shapes work together. Another poet whose work I came across in my bookstore browsing was Pat Lowther, whose work I first read after her death. The first book of hers I bought was A Stone Diary, which was published posthumously. Her political engagement and her descriptions of the natural world impressed me enormously, and I suppose I was very influenced by how she handled the line.

Why poetry? As a small child, I was fascinated by the fact that what was written on the page was a representation of what was voiced inside the head or said out loud. That the symbol preserved the idea amazed me. Even before I learned how to read and write, I would mimic my mother writing, scribbling wavy parallel lines down a page.

In Naked Poems, Phyllis Webb’s muse asks: “Now you are sitting doubled up in pain./ What’s that for?” The poet answers: “doubled up I feel/small like these poems/the area of attack is diminished.” I have always loved this exchange, for to me it talks of the vulnerability of the poet. I was attracted to poetry because of its containment. Poems are small, rich, and arcane, and therefore private (however open to interpretation/attack), invulnerable, complete. They are worlds that fit on the page (which is ironic in my case, since so many of my poems are long) and outlast the author. That they are vehicles to express emotion (read ‘my pain’) attracted me initially. Now I am less interested in the opportunity for personal revelation that poetry offers. I am more interested in how poetry reveals and connects.

**rm:** As far as the thread of your poetry collections go, the Emily Carr collection seems to fall offside somewhat. How did this book come about? Hell, how did the reissue ten years later come about? What is it about this book that holds such interest?

**JB:** I started West of Darkness in my first year as a student at the University of Victoria in 1978. While I was growing up, my mother introduced me to Carr’s autobiographical writings—the 100th anniversary of her birth took place when I was 14 and I remember driving across Canada on holiday with my parents listening to the CBC read extracts from her work—so when I arrived in Victoria seven years later, Carr was familiar to me already, and I found that familiarity affirming. She as a recognizable landmark that oriented me as I found my way in a strange city. On Wharf Street, there used to be a gallery of her work, which was run by B.C.’s provincial archives and located in her father’s store. I would visit it regularly and ideas for poems started forming. I had gone to Victoria to see if I could be a writer, following a recommendation from Gary Geddes, whom I had studied with at the University of Alberta, to go study with P. K. Page. She was not teaching there when I got there. So, in my first year at UVic, I was placed in a third-year workshop given by Robin Skelton. Most of the others in the workshop had already been together for two years, so I felt very odd-person-out and sensed a scepticism about my abilities as a poet.

“I suppose writing about the life of someone else saved me from the dilemma of writing about my own.”
on their part. I had to prove myself to them as well as to myself. Carr anchored me as a poet, gave me something to write about, so over the three years that I studied with Robin (including a special study course in my last year, during which just the two of us worked exclusively on this project), I began shaping the manuscript, and my craft and my confidence evolved with it also. Robin was very much a mentor, and he believed in this book as much as I did. It took me six years to finish it, writing other unrelated poems at the same time, including poems that later made it into *A Poor Photographer*, *Hidden Structure*, *Great Men*, and *Notes Toward a Family Tree*.

Why Carr? Well, I suppose writing about the life of someone else saved me from the dilemma of writing about my own. While I was aware of my sexual orientation and wrote a few poems about it while I was in Victoria—"Enfant Terrible," "My Cellophane Suit" in *Great Men*, among others—I was in denial about it. And when I could not ignore it, I was very anguished. Looking back, I can imagine Carr’s stoic spinsterhood and the repressed sensuality that she found expression for in the natural world must have appealed to me while I remained in the closet (though of course I had absolutely no sense of what the closet was at the time). I was also very aware that I was slowly creating a portrait of an artist, so I think the book was a way for me to come to understand my vocation as a poet—the terms, the discipline, the sense of failure, the possibilities for recognition, the struggle. A portrait of the artist that remains relevant to me as an example even today.

So, in a sense, the book was very autobiographical. You can say an awful lot about yourself via a persona without anyone really noticing. Since establishing myself as a gay man and a gay poet, I have come to think of Emily as my drag persona. And as a drag figure, given her dress sense, she would be an iconoclast. No frills, makeup, and rhinestones for this girl. She described herself as a paste solitaire in a steel-claw setting. Most drag queens consider themselves to be "Just FABULOUS." The second edition of the book was published in 1999 by Beach Holme, twelve years after its first appearance with Penumbra. In a sense the book came home to British Columbia, especially because Press Porcepic, the old name for Beach Holme, had accepted it in 1986 just after Penumbra did. In 1998 I had discovered that Penumbra had exhausted the print run and did not wish to reprint. Also, over the intervening years between the book’s publication and its going out of print, Carr’s profile on the west coast and internationally had increased even more. She was always big, but in the 1990s she was even bigger, becoming a minor industry, with major touring exhibitions of her work, permanent galleries displaying the permanent collections of her work at the Vancouver Art Gallery and the Art Gallery of Greater Victoria, a living history museum to her memory in her birthplace, biographies, plays, essays, children’s books, as well as other collections of poems about her, with some of her own previously unpublished writings appearing in bookstores. Republishing my book simply made sense, since there was a growing audience who would buy it. A west-coast press would understand this better than one based elsewhere, so I approached Beach Holme, and they took it. To make the book slightly different from the 1987 edition, we added one poem that had been cut and invited Kate Braid to write an
introduction. I also wrote a second afterward. It was very satisfying to give the book a second life. And I think it will continue to remain in print—for instance, a British publisher has expressed an interest in the book, should it ever fall out of print in Canada.

**RM:** Recently, at a reading we did together, you said you were going back and reworking a number of older pieces, from earlier of your books. Why are you doing this?

**JB:** Yes, I am reworking old poems, but not ones that appeared in any of my earlier books, though if you look at "Lake Huron Variations" in Sweet Ellipsis, you will notice that part of it is a revision of a poem that was published in my first book. However, many of the old poems that have been candidates for revision date quite far back. One of the pieces, "In the House of the Present," which placed 2nd in this year’s CBC Literary Awards, had been haunting me for more than 20 years. Some of the poems had previously appeared in journals, but because I became dissatisfied with them, they never made it into a book. "Anxiety" is a good example. The original version, which appeared in The Canadian Forum, is constructed from short lines grouped together in irregular stanzas. I suppose there are a few reasons why I am revisiting this past work: these poems are ones I have never been able to give up on, and also, when I am not writing much new material, I find revision a good substitute. I have always enjoyed the process of reshaping. It is free of the anxiety of the first draft. Also, I find it interesting to apply current aesthetic assumptions to older poems that have not been working. Perhaps present technical obsessions can bring out aspects of the poem that had not been susceptible to my previous compositional tricks. It is a way of further exploring my present ideas about poetry.

**RM:** What is it about these older poems that have stuck in your head, even after twenty years? And do you find a lot of anxiety in your first draft? I always considered the first draft to be where a writer had the most freedom, before the long carve, carve, carve of obsessive revision.

**JB:** I suppose the older poems must have some ember of lingering vitality that time has not stamped out. They remain in my consciousness—and on my hard drive; their presence there, irradiating me with their incompleteness, haunts and provokes me each time I open the directory of my poems. I find them as hard to ignore as beggars in the street—and when I do, in both cases, I feel guilty. I must suffer from some kind of poet’s thrift: waste not, want not. If I have already spent a lot of poetic capital working a poem up to a certain point, it is difficult for me to turn my back on the time already invested, even if that investment was originally made many years before. Time has long been at a high premium for me, split, as it is, between working full time, editing *Arc*, writing, and trying to have a personal life. I seem to turn to abandoned poems when the energy to write something new is in short supply. I rework them with very little expectation of the outcome and relax in the pleasurable mechanics of revision. It seems that I want to conserve my writing...
energy for new poems that really matter. My approach to writing new work is not very casual; it has not been for many years, which may be a bit of a problem, for the poems that are the products of happenstance do not often get written.

I find your comment about the freedom you find in the first draft to be very interesting. I would love to feel that way and wonder if I don't because my new poems are always written in moments stolen from my regular life. I feel a lot of anxiety during the first draft because I am not sure I will be finish it in the time I have made available—and if I do, will the attempt be worth the effort, and if I don't, will ever get back to it? I suppose revision satisfies me so much because at some point I have decided that the initial effort was worthwhile, so I can revel in the fine tuning to make a poem better. The issue of merit has already been decided.

The three poems that make up the second section of Hypothesis — "In a Station of the Tongue," "Light Paralysis," and "Eye Country" — seem a very deliberate stylistic shift, writing an almost semi-prose column of text. Your formal shifts aren't usually so obvious; how did these pieces come about?

I was drawn to writing in this manner out of an impatience with the line and stanza breaks. I was beginning to feel that I had become over focused on where to end lines and stanzas—a skill more than a few people have praised me for over the years—so decided to see what would happen if I frustrated that focus in some way, to see if the poems changed.

I believe "Eye Country" was the first poem to be written in this manner, sometime in the autumn of 1999. The first draft, which was written with both line and stanza breaks, seemed stilted and reticent. I don’t know what provoked me to pour it into a narrow right-and-left justified, double-spaced column, but once I did, the poem began to flow and open up. That this poem—as are the other two—is a single sentence perhaps made it more amenable, for the energy of the comma-spliced clauses reenforced the energy of the shape. Perhaps each clause formally echoes each right-and-left justified line, though the clauses are various lengths while the lines are uniform. What emerges, I believe, is a hypnotic voice. Also, to be released—at least temporarily—from the decision-making required to determine breaks gave me more mental space to explore other aspects of the poems, to push into the imagery, etc. The irony, of course, is that I became very conscious of where the justification caused the lines to break. You will notice that most lines end on either a noun, verb, adjective or adjective. I avoided breaking them on prepositions, conjunctions, articles, and pronouns, unless doing so advanced sense. Of course, I left the justification on, so that meant revising lines internally in order that they ended at particular words; also, if I wanted to preserve a particular line break, it would result in often extensive revisions far above and below. Finally, the justification forced me to start paying attention to the space between words within each line. I wanted the intra-line spacing to look and feel as natural as possible. All these technical challenges consumed me and forced me to explore these poems in ways I might not have otherwise.

Also, I have found that avoiding line breaks—or more properly, the device of drawing readers’ attention away from them—gives the poems more "interiority." I feel that I draw readers more deeply into the mind/spirit-space of the narrator. They get the opportunity to observe the process of the narrator being alive to experience. It is as if line-breaks create edges, barriers, prop up the mask of
the narrator’s self-conscious persona. Line breaks are more “social.” Maybe, they demand the reader to recognize how clever the poet is at the expense of the narrator. I have also begun writing long-lined couplets during the compilation of Hypothesis and have noticed they possess the same deep, meditative internalized voice.

I have found this so-called “column” or “text-block” form compelling, and have written five other poems in this manner. Two of them are my CBC-winning poems; they have been collected with a third poem into Asymmetries, a set of limited-edition chapbooks published in April 2004 by Frog Hollow Press. The three poems in Hypothesis have travel themes, so I wanted to see if other themes would work in this form. “In the House of the Present,” which you can hear read aloud on the Anansi website by an actor hired by the CBC, deals with childhood memory. I also wanted to see if it could work as a short poem. “Sombrio Beach” is an example. I am less concerned that any of these poems “work” than in how they stand as gestures of experimentation.

I realize some readers have difficulty with the form. They find the combination of justification and comma-splice sentences opaque to comprehension, and even wonder if they are prose poems or simply passages of prose. Myself, I do still consider them poems, particularly because I have come to take such pains with how the lines break. Also, I don’t consider them double-spaced, but composed of single-line stanzas—so the line endings are really stanza breaks—which I have come to call “singlets.” I like this, because a singlet is also a man’s sleeveless undershirt—or ‘vest,’ as my British-born father would say. For me this is a little homoerotic joke. My Calvin Klein of forms.

You sound as though these “gestures of experimentation” are against the normal strain of your writing, deliberately frustrating the focus of your normal line to cause a shift. How far do you see yourself taking this?

It is very hard to say, largely because your question seems to assume I have some sense of how far off or how nearby “far” is. What I can say is that I have found the frustration of my “normal”—as you call it—line most liberating, so I suppose once that ceases to engage me, I will move onto some new obsession—or wait till one materializes to distract me and take me somewhere else. I believe the “frustration of my normal line” has allowed me to move the focus of my attention—and the reader’s—away from the line-ending to the line itself, foregrounding its expansiveness and inclusiveness. I am thinking especially of the long-lined couplets rather than the singlets, though the singlets—which, through their cumulative effect, remind of watching a straight-sided glass filling with deliciously cool water—have an ability to hold a great amount of experience, being absorbent as sponges (to continue with the water metaphor). The structure forces the reader to pay heed to what’s going on within the line and between lines unmediated by the break. I am very preoccupied with density, compressing as much into the poem as possible.

Perhaps when I desire simplicity’s plain signature, I will move onto something else. Right now I am
adding something else to my repertoire. I find the word "experimentation" a loaded word. What does it really mean, especially as it changes meaning depending on who is using it? "Normal" and "normative" are similarly loaded. Our culture—the writing culture even—is drunk on them. Experimental writing: what does that mean, what is its opposite? Normal writing? I think not.

I sometimes feel victimized by both sets of words—that my writing is not experimental enough that it is—excluding the homoerotic content—too normal; or, because of its homoerotic content, not normal enough. Or because my representation of homoerotic experience is too normative, not experimental enough, considering being gay is such a "social experiment." Or maybe this debate is inside me... to quote Phyllis Webb: "Take away my wisdom and my categories!"

I suppose my real area of interest is to modulate the tonalities of what I would call—to borrow from grammar—the declarative voice: the deceptively simple cause-and-effect relationships between subject and predicate, and how the dynamic between them affects that voice and consciousness emerging from poetic discourse. If you look at the poems I have been writing for the last ten years, you will notice how I have become progressively more obsessed with the sentence—how to write one that is full of interest. My current innovations are merely the latest engagement with of this obsession.

I merely wish to share my imaginative, not necessarily autobiographical, experience—anecdotally and aesthetically—as any other writer might wish to...

How do I fit into a tradition of gay writing, and am consciously trying to fit in? Contemporary gay writing, with its preoccupation with coming out and political self-actualization, has roots going back to the late 60s, when there was a flowering of expression that coincided with the gay liberation movement. But the origins of homoerotic writing go back much farther; how homoerotic sensibility has evolved preoccupies me increasingly. Is a work homoerotic only if it is overtly so in its imagery, or are there other more subtle signifiers that suggest its pedigree? I have swung back forth between these
approaches throughout my writing career, and often the simplicity of using imagery to make my themes evident has been very important to me. Being ‘overt’ has a lot to do with how I want to engage with my audience, and over the years, reader reaction has been quite diverse, and not always positive. I would like to think that my work reflects/embodies something essential about being gay in my own time—but there are so many ways of being gay that any one writer’s expression of it is necessarily partial. In that sense I believe I am participating in a collectivity, one jagged little piece in a very confusing puzzle.

That said, it has never been enough for me simply to think of myself as a gay writer. I have other concerns (the environment, national identities, etc.) but what I find interesting is to view those issues from a homoerotic perspective—to see how various issues might illuminate one another, to if anything new or interesting might result.

I am saddened that some readers, gay and straight, get fixated on genitalia, for example—or the lack of it—and miss the other themes that might be present in my work. For straight readers, I can be too provocative; for gay ones, too vanilla. I see such readings as tellingly narcissistic: for both, they see too little of themselves in my work. I thought reading was also about entering in the unknown and the unknowable. As a gay writer, as a writer who happens to be gay—or whatever spin I want to put on my subjectivity—I feel betwixt and between in the apparently tolerant culture we are building in Canada.

I am not sure which balance you mean. The balance to be struck between the experimental and the conventional? I suppose it depends on whether or not I feel obliged to expand the envelope of the ‘tradition’ to any degree—and that the so-called ‘experiments’ of Erin’s sort are the best way for me to do so. I am probably a bit of a fence sitter. I like the declarative, rational voice of the conventional sentence, for example, but I want to push that the shape of that sentence as far as I can.

I was meaning the considerations you felt caught between, the demands you feel your gay readers have on you, against the ones you feel your non-gay audience have. But, having said that, how does it feel to know that two of your pieces, with the second place in the CBC literary contest, were available to readers of enRoute magazine, read by two months worth of weary airplane travellers? As has been suggested, the “homoeroticism at 40,000 feet.”

Ah, the homoeroticism in those pieces is very subtle, subtextual really. Perhaps a reader would have to be familiar with my writing to see it at work in these poems. When I was trying to decide which poems to send, I was very conscious that anything too overtly gay could be in danger of being screened out at an early stage of the judging. Such suspicions make a writer working from any minority point of view very self-conscious. Though I am sure the CBC Literary Competition is very above board, I cannot help but suspect that there are guidelines, even unwritten ones, that would be applied to weed out entries that might offend, upset, or enrage passengers. I am sure that any piece of travel writing about terrorism would be in the bin.

” Appropriateness” is much more of a force in society today than many wish to acknowledge, especially by
artists who tend to assume that their values are the right ones and are shared by the other “right-thinking,” intelligent people they routinely deal with. I know that this is not true from past experience and also know that artists themselves are often unaware of their own prejudices operating below the patina of tolerance they like to project to the world. I believe that it is this kind of unawareness—and not anything more malevolent—that could motivate a screener to weed out more socially challenging work from a contest. So I chose carefully and, as a result, during August 2003, my two poems were read anywhere Air Canada flies. Whether or not anyone at 40,000 feet realized that their author was gay or that the poems had any homoerotic valencies is anyone’s guess. In theory, I could feel that I have let myself down, but gay men are masters of knowing how to “pass” unnoticed among straights. Historically we camouflage our charged gay bodies with the most apparently benign straight drag. Getting away with it is subversive and fun, and to those attuned to receive it—a message.

To think that such tropes are at work in the world today I believe surprises many people, gay or straight. It offends their self-congratulatory sense of their own tolerance. But look how same sex marriage has stirred things up in the last six months, with polls indicating a near-dead heat between its proponents and its detractors. This is the milieu in which I publish my work.

So, going back to your question about balance, I have given up trying to balance the needs of my gay readers with the hesitations of my straight ones. I am not going to write semen-soaked sonnets to appease the jerk-off fantasies of the former. Nor will I sit down to write poems so innocuous that the latter are able pat themselves on their collective backs for being cool enough to read them as gay literature without discomfort. But I do feel caught sometimes. And I wonder if I should compromise to get ahead, to get that GG nomination that would push me the next level of success, which might translate into a tenure-track teaching position, invitations to read, lots of book reviews, etc. There is real danger in compromise, however, because, in my opinion, it leads to silence. Compromise has no edge to it, so as poet I would get bored and likely stop writing. The other danger is to let myself be confused by the conflicting needs of a heterogeneous audience. Confusion leads to hesitation; hesitation leads to block.

I have decided that all I need to do is to be shrewd—to know when to pass for the simple subversive pleasure of it, and when to parade.

**JBB:** After eighteen years in Ottawa and a dozen years at *Arc,* what brought about the move to Victoria to take over *The Malahat Review*?

**JB:** I was with *Arc* for sixteen years, thirteen as co-editor. It consumed a lot of my time, energy, and imaginative space. God knows, but it even likely took the place of family, dog, and boyfriend at times. After we launched the Don Coles issue at the National Arts Centre last April, Rita Donovan, my fellow co-editor, called me to say she would be stepping down. Because I had been harbouring my own thoughts about resigning, I was not completely surprised. Rita joined the editorial board one year after I did in 1988, so I could empathize with the exhaustion and the desire to move onto new things. The burden of responsibility can be crushing over time. We met for lunch and decided we would leave at the same time—December 2003—giving the board six months to adjust to our departure and reinvent itself. Nevertheless I found the decision to leave a very hard one to make because I love publishing and loved watching the issues take slowly shape. I often thought...
about leaving before, but part of me always wanted to hang around for the magazine’s 25th year, which I did. However the maddening administrative duties involved in keeping Arc alive took their toll, especially as everything required to do had to be done in my spare time—or on the sly while I was "at work."

When I moved to Ontario from B.C. in 1985 to study librarianship, I had no intention of staying more than a year to obtain my degree. However, life happens. Job opportunities came up in Ottawa, and the rest is history. Within in a year I had wormed my way into the Ottawa poetry community, which I found very welcoming. That I grew as a poet in the nearly eighteen years that I lived in Ottawa is undeniable. And the years at Arc are a big part of that growth. But the desire to return west never really went away, though, over the years, the urgency to do so sometimes began to seem no more than an idle fantasy.

The Malahat Review position came up after I had decided to leave Arc. The timing seemed right, so I applied, was interviewed, and offered the job. Opportunities like this do not crop up often in this country—to be paid reasonably, at least in comparison to the small honorarium I received at Arc, to work on a literary magazine as an actual day job. While I could have continued to lead a comfortable life as a civil servant in Ottawa and work till I reached retirement age, I knew that if I let this chance pass me by, I would have wondered what would have happened for the rest of my life. Over the last few years I found it harder and harder to find time to write. With luck in my new situation there will be time for my own work. Accepting the job has had a lot to do with how I want to live the rest of my life. I joke that I have taken a vow of poverty, but I am sure things will work out.

The Malahat Review is an icon of Canadian literary publishing that was founded by Robin Skelton, my teacher at the University of Victoria from 1978 to 1981. As you may know, he died in 1997. The example he set as a magazine editor essentially shaped how I approached my responsibilities at Arc. Robin was a shrewd visionary, and through The Malahat, he helped shape Canadian literature in ways large and small. It was very important to me that Arc aspire to make a similar contribution. I am sure that its emphasis on the publication of book reviews, for example, stems from Robin’s own tireless reviewing in the pages of The Malahat. Arc may or may not be our "national poetry magazine"; I don’t really care if it is. But who can seriously deny it is not now a national voice in the same way that The Malahat is? All I ever wanted was for Arc to add its voice to the dialogue, and it has.

So, to return to Victoria to edit the quarterly that Robin gave so much of his passion energy to feels very much like I am coming full circle. Isn’t that what is supposed to happen in one’s mid-to-late 40s? I can’t help but feel that I am honouring his memory and that he would be pleased. I want to apply everything I have learned at Arc in my new capacity as the editor of The Malahat Review and to build upon his legacy and the many accomplishments of his successors.
Chase

through mildewed aisles
a pudgy boy dodges the rip-traps of the rubber mats
squeaks his sneakers against the black floor
flings his body around the corner shelf
tinned corn tottering precarious
before settling back into the thick dust
between canned peaches, beans,
soft packs of confectioner’s sugar

a pig-tailed girl caroms off the chips display, gains fast,
digs a heal against the cooler
for a launch towards the door,
hands spread towards escape,
pictures the flight over the stoop, and leaps,
ignores the grocer’s call, half-hearted, to stop, stop that, you kids, you brats,
I’ve been telling you 32 years;
just once you’d think
you could listen, little bastards
The economist

Sits, patient behind desk, piled books outnumbering;
knows I’m not from here, not even, we’ve established,
from this part of the brain, but is kind,
as if I’m exotic, have been misplaced by my handler.

Normatively speaking, he says, and I try
to picture it, to remember the last rule I made:
maybe for the cat — not on the table at dinner; but the cat not understanding.
And, of course, I don’t either; am prepared to be swatted down
for my failure to comprehend.

Think of your taxes, he says,
and, oh god, I’d rather not.
my receipts travel three provinces each
spring, so they can be as far from me as possible
when stripped to their numbers,
their key-stroked nudity too obscene for me to bear.

His office has a view, which I am watching, and a blackboard,
which hangs over; long blackness covered with measured art:
chalked spirals, prostituted letters, numbers
climbing each other across geometric scars, mechanical gestures of lines building
towards Answer. Like words, I think,
he fragments the world in small insights.

Authored, he says. A book with graphs and tables. And I am lost again.
His paper scratching, number raking is genius
to others. Creative intensity. Analysis and theory.
Same desires, I think. His language cutting the world
into meaning, precise poems of explanation.
But not the same, I think, leaving. Can’t be
so sure I’m right.
Sidewalk

Friday’s beautiful teenager older than his body,
sweatshirt hooded, piercings tarnished;
not the first way he thought
to cash in in this city.

Sunday sits transgendered on a doorstep
never actually asks,
just a ceramic dish at his/her feet,
running shoes, dirty jeans,
strangers stopping to ask how much the operation;
a problem of priority,
though it doesn’t seem P.C. to say.

Saturday the fat lady
teaches her boy to beg by the churchyard
pandering guilt riddance
to cultural parishioners
come to hear the choirs sing;
Mozart, Mendelssohn and spare some change
I’m only 12 and the rent is due.

And
every day
bearded drunks and schizophrenics, abandoned
but good with the gimmick, their kerchiefed dogs adding credence,
tug on maternal purse-strings, soft-shoed women who’ll fish for change,
the alkies trading forever on “How sad, how sad, a man without a woman is always lost.”
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Revenge

Dead rose bushes are hard to kill. Betrayal of brown stalks, hollow spiked flutes of bygone bee music. They sense your fury, scoff at that insufferable prima donna sun coaxing grubs from black loamy beds. Done with roses forever, or so she thinks.

Blunt and brutish they look, beside the chartreuse points of iris *qui poussent, qui poussent*— Bulbous their roots, big as thighs wedged in earth. The hoe bends and snaps off clean, and still the roots grip, hissing oaths, malevolent.

Who would have thought that beauty could come to this: your desperate hack at the hollow stalk, tears of rage, grime in the corners of your eyes?
How the Dead Prey Upon You

(For Ottawa)

You and yr past, & re-incarnation, of all things,
giving you mysterious & yet specious rights of ownership to a long catalogue of disgraces & circuitous lies.

Why not refute the past and struggle into the future? You won’t have to mix—stay inside, it is all on TV—edited for family viewing. And just like heroin about five per cent real.
Sunrise: Villanelle

Day break me a day of no regret.
Make slow or stop the flow of fear of years.
Day break me this day for I’ve not loved yet.

Can’t we as boys and girls—just islands—
Have no great griefs in our brains and time.
Day break me a day of no regret.

Gladsad street child wanting to hang loose.
Needing a child of morning to love and lose.
Day break me this day for I’ve not loved yet.

I say sing our songs till our songs send us down.
Where we can lay and listen—hear new sounds.
Day break me a day of no regret.

And that’s jazz, you sweet old mother of mine.
High-rolling son of stone-empty pockets.
Day break me this day for I’ve not loved yet.

And go, planets! go on and twist about,
Children die. Mothers cry. None know why.
Day break me a day of no regret.
Day break me this day for I’ve not loved yet.
Sheila Frances Louise

I keep my divorce papers
with my underwear, top drawer, in fact,
so that each morning while dressing,
I resolve again,
to stop following my prick around.

No offence now...
King Kong Goes To The Shawville Fair

O I went to the Shawville fair

Hoping to find other Beasties there!

Alas there were none

But still I had fun

Getting drunk with raccoons

On stale beer.

2004
Ottawa snow; come what May

This night too far forward:
spring cherrypicking winter early,
post-season hockey keeping ice
glancing blue off the walls.

The ideas of movement—looking
out from here, leaving
another city, taking
another with me.

This coming summer, the time between
already passed
before we’ve reached it.

By the time you get home,
the snow is gone, except
for the place in the back yard
where tree and fence hide it
grey.
post-season.
Ghazal of a bureaucratic entrance

walking to the bus corner
I clench my gas, nearing people

no breakfast, only coffee—
feeling a june bug gut: red, brown

my eyelid begins its twitch
I plan the aesthetic of my face’s slant

enter the day’s email with the building
a friend has sent poems describing her lovers

bus sweats and musky for the night’s drinking
I apply the smell of an acceptable demeanor

walking to the first meeting
I test my tongue, burnt by coffee.
dusk to Ottawa

I don’t see letters in the trees
or road lines; hills

but shades and brambles bronchial:

the sky underlaid,
the sun underground

clouds with eyes made up for the night—

the moment the woods settle
into solid space,

writing horizons.
To the missing lover of Marilyn Monroe

I was born on the cusp of summer, breathing in hospital green, ether blue, 
every breath another canidate for smothering; 
nothing prepared us for this later dream. 
My charm, I fear, is this 
mirrir that frames eyebrows plucked from a woman I don't know, her eyeballs 
pressed in an album, her vision fasing fast fast fast  squinting back 
at someone I don't know - I am not Marilyn fucking Monroe but then 
neither was she, both unaware of our drifting and our eyes buried deep within 
a jar of gartersnakes and leaves punched with airholes and no other rules, buried like 
treasure 
deep 
under layers of flesh and pain, deep below summer leaves and falling winds, deep 
where the jewel-eyed toads cluster and wait for thaw, the secret places under 
warm boards and complicated lies and arms too tired to hold you any more, where gods 
sip 
celestial seasonings tea and compose 
trite poetry for a love gone sour. Here are you - 
at the end of my universe, dancing still, looking like Bryan Ferry and holding 
a gasp of summer air in the palm of your hand, waiting for me to thaw, 
and this goddamned typewriter will not dance – here are you, crying in the wilderness... 
In the space of this vision I have already forgotten many eyes 
coyotes howl around our hospital, salmon dance up the sides of cold concrete 
headstones, and I still don't know where home is (Marilyn smiles, 
lost as Mona Lisa, and just as short of breath) – How do toads breathe, we wonder, under 
mud,
under no flies to sing, under no autographs to collect, under no ulterior motives to bring home to

Mother? (there, I’ve said it) M is for the many things she gave away, and I am still made of that tiny flesh, screaming on a steel table with all of my arms, but no vision – the darkness of that tunnel is the darkness of pavement, of eyeshadows, of trains rolling away, of this story I keep telling myself, this documented link to the civilized collective, my consciousness elsewhere, running through tumbleweeds not yet ready to, pulling off scab after scab after scab
but finding no skin under – under is the darkness deeper and deeper until there is no bottom,

only the glittering gold eyes of dead women, encrusted in the walls, bunched in symmetrical hexagons, breathing without air, without hope of air, all waiting for you, holding one perfect vision which none of them can see:

I have saved the best guilt for you, not for anything you have done, or ever meant to do, but for all lovers all lairs all prophets and popes all weavers of tales all dancers all doctors all boys with small nets all sellers of snake oil and jewels we know you are there, and we see you, with our perfect, uniform blindness: man, beyond skin and hair, scent and sin, penis and asshole, hand and eye – only a godhead collection of mirrors turning for us to look:

reflection of golden eyes, needing not the summer air, or even breath to be warm in the knowledge of a coming spring.
Mid-September in Annapolis Royal’s Historic Gardens,
   Junked apples rot beneath model trees.
The Imperial Rose Garden and its lush, jungled flora
   Imitate what Sappho loved – this red, blushed
Majesty, cascading dastardly in patchwork patterns
   That mirror triangulated, assassins’ gunfire.
Magenta, scarlet, fragile pink, noir, and white,
   The roses mass perplexingly complex in tinge –
Like a political party of Machiavellian intent.
   But forget policy as Rose Chinensis prefaces
Hybrid Teas crafted by hand pollination, a process
   Once thought anathema, but gleaned from Chinese
Buddhist science, “Healthy with a capital H.” And so,
   Enjoy the luxury of the rose-washed air,
Smelling also of perfumed decay like a bordello,
   Like stench of bed sheets after coitus
(Rank sweat and drool, ointments and condiments),
   Amid dog hair strewn like strychnine to scare off
Impressionable deer, and the light sluicing down
   Like loose juice, a jetting of drenching spunk.
Jewels of insects sparkle amid the pulp and juice
   Of russet pears, sapped, crushed, but teeming
Also with maggots as fierce as asps. Nearby, bees
   Burrow into the fragrant, pouting vaginas
Of impious flowers, dousing themselves with nectar,
   Delicious, sopping, until they resemble
Lavishly lolling lovers, busy with cunt-moistened
   Faces. Leave them and go down the plank walk
Among the elephant grass (or Norfolk reed) to spy
   The dead railroad bridge – all rusted iron and
Rotting wood, a Canuck Stonehenge, a paean
   To the decay of Confederation. Look! A gang
of crows parliaments the telephone lines. They are
   Like honourable members nodding stolidly
At each other, "ahem"-ing and "amen"-ing about
   Their ingenious and endless pension plans.
The sky is sapphire broken by grey-white shards,
But the ground stinks of dying fruit and leaves,
Smelling much like March and April with their vernal
Muck. A mosquito attacks me among shadowy,
Gold-streaked, man–tall, zebra grass clusters, while I’m
Watching bulrushes salute overbearing,
Sky-fucking trees such as the *Populus Caroliniana*
(or Carolina Poplar), which looks nine–storeys
high, at least four–feet thick, and now, where this ink
Is smudged, that reckless mosquito lies wrecked.
I pass a pond, no fresher than it was three years ago,
Unlike the fresh ejaculation of a line.
Falling leaves detonate the silence, save for the *fizz*
Of the miniature waterfall, almost as quiet
As lethal flowers that have no scent. Here is a new
Dragonfly, navigating purple–blue among
Green–and–gold lily pads and lofty, saw-grass spears.
Time is aging, time is aging, and is ageless,
While tree limbs rake upward like algebraic formula,
Composing a *jazz* of randomness — just like
Our never–finished lines, leaping from direction
To direction: a sonnet architecture.
Again, now, the reek of decay under a thrusting tree —
The scraggily, straggling, bedraggled arches
Of a flouncing, hydra–headed tree. The sun mirrors it,
Projecting sprays of light, lancing, almost,
The obdurate, darkening clouds, as it surrenders
To the minor daggers of incensed mosquitoes,
Jabbing us like pens jab paper, pricking, pricking,
Until blood runs like ink.
A gardener in a graveyard, that’s a poet,
Indulging culture, sucking its nectar,
So you suck this poem deep into your skull.
Bellagio (II)

Above mountain-coloured water,
the preposterous sky is so on-and-off chilly,
usually sun-stroked Italia feels
just like Nuova Scozia,
that brilliantly gloomy, Latin Hades.

Plunked under olive-shade, grape vines —
and lapis-lazuli grapes overhanging
a hung-over carafe of yellow-white wine,
I take ten thousand drags of this plonk
until my afro is ten thousand tarantuli.

Five years gone, I sat here in Pescalo,
by this mountain-unscrolling water,
and scrawled "À Bellagio,"
while a man screamed at his dog, "Basta!"
I’s still a black bastard bastardizing English.

There is no freedom outside Poetry,
and its monarchical black ink,
dictating what’s what and what ain’t.
What any poet offers be
ugliness set to music and set free.

Now dusk is sweating light,
a fervent, fulvous gold.
The zebra breeze canters warm, then cold.
Intellectual finesse peels to feeling,
pure, untutored feeling.

See light diving in slanted salvos, ovals,
scuffing, buffing, the olive grape leaves.
Being as blind as a photographer to what ain’t visible,
how must I express interpretation,
but as an imagism of feeling?

The sun glances gold — like a blow —
across this page, descanting
as it descandies.
Its ostentatious gold oratory
glints, sets fire to this paper,
Wasting everything to glittering waste.
The day unleafs, its gilt flakes off,
in leaden, pewter, dismal darkness.
Plus a shadow-dragging dog barks
at flapping, fleeing ducks.

   The moon overtakes the mountained lake,
   its pulsating richness like oil –
   its flux of dark light,
   while I feel the fluctuation of impulse
   among these five lines of poetry.

   Let me order a negroni
   (Campari plus vermouth plus gin)
as if the yelping dog
   were a sign from God
   that black is the soundtrack of white.

   See how the pouring ivory moon
is articulate white wine
among cassis.
Now let’s rhyme cassis with chassis —
if every poem be Invention’s oasis.

Bellagio (III)

   Now I must say goodbye olive trees,
goodbye rosemary, goodbye pasticceria,
goodbye gelateria, goodbye white-black butterfly
with the brown stripe, goodbye Villa Serbelloni —
with crooked doors and craquelured paintings,
goodbye to that book of Chinese characters
with its full-colour images of green plants
or yellow or pink flowers,
ciao to all the extraordinary women,
and goodbye Campari, gin, and vermouth,
goodbye to grappa & negroni & limoncello,
goodbye to the blistering roses,
goodbye to the great books, the greatest books,
and goodbye to that black scorpion.
February 1999

The sun is slipping, angular, gold,
Behind the black needles of pines,
As our train passes the copper-roofed Dorchester Penitentiary,
And the mudflats, caked red-brown, ochre, with quarrelsome ice,
And, in the fields, all straight and brown,
Little, brittle lances of blue-black water,
While I am reading of Clinton’s acquittal
And of limbs hacked off of Chinese civilians
On the wrong side of a civil war’s machetes –
And the sky is still a blue-powdered white
And I’ll read *The Autobiography of a Cuban Slave*
As we pass mounds of crushed cars.

Now the sky is a Turner, burning blue and pink-white –
Like the Houses of Parliament,
And we come grinding into Moncton, New Brunswick, like a slave coffle.
A librarian – Beverly True – told me it’s true:
In Amherst, they’ve found the unmarked graves of slaves –
Black bodies flung pitilessly into the marshes
To decline all identity;
Their masters: expert mass murderers.

Now, here is Moncton, with dusk looking massive,
Its dingy lights streaking filthy water a luciferian orange –
Like a series of tarponds, oozing bitterness,
Or liquid hearts of darkness, pungent with poison –
And an ungenteel cancer settling in,
Breaking song into tears and dirtying everything with history.
La Vérité à Ottawa

First there was a fragment of Weymouth Falls landed
In Aylmer, when unstable Billy snagged his ass in jail
And beautiful, unstable Judy had drifted home,
Thus allowing two fresh-exiled Scotians to arrange
For an apartment and the $400 up-front rent
At 59 Elm Street, its name tapped from a tree,
In Afro-Arab-Asian-Italian Lowertown, the Coloured
Arrondissement of Ottawa.

And then, later, April
Came thundering-and-lightning in, with snow,
And surprising rain drapes, cascades of cold wet,
And sodden chrysanthemums out of nowhere,
And the shock of purple-and-white crocus daubing
The southeast slope of Parliament Hill, by the canal,
And then the surge of sun, lemony, cantankerous, warm,
But still also sprays and squalls of recalcitrant snow,
So that the canal swelled and seethed with gobs of ice
And brimmed, heavy with cast-off cold and weight,
Pouring through the Rideau Locks and into the Ottawa
River – like tons of white-and-grey-blue salmon,
Reversing themselves.
Crossing the Eddy Street Bridge,
Into drab, bureaucratized Hull, its fat, grey edifices,
And Tijuana-raucous bars, you’d see, on your left,
The frothing falls of the E.B. Eddy factory, the clean
White energy of the water charging into channels
To electrify turbines and generators, with the Peace
Tower behind you, in the rear-view mirror, thrusting,
Marvelously erect despite all the eunuchs droning
In its bowels.

You’d absorb all this beauty, but also
A marriage fraying because of your unreconciled
And unrequited desire, that acidic love that seeped
Into all the sutures and silences of the marriage
And corrupted it.

In Ottawa, you were never able
To forget a one-sided, wasteful, self-hating love,
A record of cold kisses, unhealthy, and so you
Tumbled out of love with a body, the Arctic cold
Axing your lungs, while the barren, spindly trees
Before the Château Laurier put on stalactites or daggers,
And you fell between wedding and divorce into
A warm nest of treasons.

Next came the bitterness
Of coffee, newspapers, Mulroney’s treacherous
Tories trashing the treasury, the upper-class defecating
Their taxes on the lower orders – the rest of us. There was
The skirl of leaves in autumn and the skitter of whores’ heels
In the always summery Byward Market, and the knowledge
Of weaknesses that remained weaknesses, and, on Albert
Street, where it lunges down to LeBreton Flats, there was –
And is – an orange-yellow metal carousel jutting off a stone
Cliff near the great stony, convent-looking hospital,
And storms always brooding just above it,
Shedding either lightning or snow,
And, also, the molten memory of failure –

Oh God, I was broken,
Corrupted, by a love that was Druid and lurid and Gothic,
So befitting Ottawa, city of fits and paralysis and analysis,
Where nothing could help me or save me from lonesome
Strutting along Parliament Hill and gutting
An original – virginal – marriage with aboriginal lust
For an Afro-Sino Jamaican, fluent in French and English,
Equally official under the Constitution.
33 lines, a stolen phrase and a short apology

"I will do anything to avoid boredom. It is the task of a lifetime."

- Anne Carson, *Short Talks*

**the line that mentions the emptiness of snow**

this is a learning of seasons, the un-avoidability of such. we grow in our boots & learn quickly the basics of mathematics.

we know little. the fullness of the drifts.

**the line that mentions andy weaver**

the reading we did in Vernon, B.C. last summer, reading poems of hanging out drunk w/ Andy, & Andy reading poems abt rob being drunk.

**the line that mentions the rocky mountains**

Edmonton in November, I dont remember anything that isnt snow. I only remember snow.

**the line that mentions ______**

its easy to say that ______. where _____ did _____ go. I do not recall ______. the ways. the ways

in which ______. we all did.
Painting by: Juan Carlos Norie
the line that mentions the old power plant
in this empty pub, I am but one man
writing outward.

the line that mentions another prairie
the wrong end
of a too short road. her

red red car.

the line that mentions the town that wasn’t
when the rail went down, what started.
towns being born. where I started,
a village birthed & how many others
bled dry. Dominionville, Tayside, Athol.
a radius. lost names, w/ some, not even
a sign or house to show.

the line that bears repeating now
the wrong end
of a too short road.

a break, there,
in the middle.

the line that mentions travel
the stretch of a month, tracing the same path.
repeating. memorizing stations
& the similarities. the cross
of Canadian rail. a story of betrayal,
penance & salvation. east heading west. I am
going in the wrong order. I had already
been saved.
the line that mentions home
where I hang my, what. the planet earth.
textbooks from the 1950s w/ my fathers name,
address moving out. country, continent,
planet, solar system. how lost
did he get. him or his books. hardly
any in the house right now.

the line that mentions tradition
all narrative is artificial. he knows. a tale told
in the smoky pub, where the speaker
decides to begin, & where he ends.
or simply stop. a tickle on the page.

the line that mentions the sports final
it goes from a central point, one plain
against the other.
a cheer, as a roar. a roar as the
prime reaction.

the line that mentions the city
in Calgary, Andy says, this town
is seriously mall-deficient.
back in Edmonton, the bar is ugly
& upscale. bad food overpriced.
the staff & clientele are young,
& attractive despite.
every space has its own age. every block
its own mall. every street
another highway out of town.
the line that mentions her fire
I havent worked on my novel in weeks, the one that begins in an apartment fire. the same week, I discover, that kath had hers. living

a month in a hotel, thanks to the insurance. bits of ash that still fall out of her books, the ones still left.

a scotch arrives, on ice. a foreign rain burns down.

the line that mentions the wild
the horses are slow to come over the hills.

one might say.

when to steal a mans horse, was as good as killing him.

four hours to drive, just to get to the water slide. the next motel.

the line that mentions the strathcona hotel
history is both knowing, & unknowing. the unknowable. a hotel in town where my favorite pub lives, bar. the hotel remnants in Vancouver downtown east, w/

the same name. are there others?

a track that Lord Strathcona left as he travelled, west. as everyone said hi.
the line that mentions my horoscope
not that I follow. today,
not to be held to self-pity.

all the young people in this province
seem to smoke, at least

at the bar & grill.

Jason remarked on it, the price
of cigarettes, between here

& Ontario, & back to B.C.
that he should have bought a carton
in Toronto

when he had the chance.

the line that mentions the swedish superstore
I expected more umlauts. a table
you assemble yourself. chairs made

of a single vowel.

the staff, entirely, blonde. it was good
to see.

he remarked, that they had
a full birken

stock. what exactly that means.

the line that mentions the last time
the long drive to Banff. slow mountain peaks
near the foot of these hills. her

hands were miles, away.
the line that mentions the wind
ewarm, down from the peaks. my lips still dry w/ the breath.
I have not driven through the rockies in two years.

the line that mentions the convenience store
the best shawarma in the province. I bought two but didnt finish them.
Jason remarked on a jar of guana jelly & I thought abt bat droppings.
I think thats guano. another word for waste.
so long under shore. the whole time derek wasnt home.

the line that mentions discovery
the beginning of an empty stage. coming apart in stages. left & then left.
glasses clink,
& get emptied. the hour neither happening, nor so brief. I do not mean
to repeat myself.
the line that mentions deliberate fires
from the summer, barns burnt for weeks, to
the ground. to what the land must
have been w/out. do

you remember the land. when
there were only trees.

the line that mentions cartoon logic
to compare the end of days
to a rail line, or a cave. the thin
pinprick of light, growing bulbous.

this is not a rose, nor is it
the sun outside. it is Wile E. Coyote

being tricked again, the flashlight
in the Roadrunners mouth. the painted wall

jet black he thinks he can escape through.

the line that mentions the cold prairie
Saskatoon in November, four years ago. a dry
dry cold. the blood from my broken lips
half down my chin before I noticed. knew.

the line that mentions the damage done
where there was not but a kiss, a near
& constant threat. two drinks

between, & the touch
of a hand.

the line that mentions the distances traveled
born of a place, & there you stay. to do otherwise
would be to disappear. not return. to come

to another point, not here, & so remain. to
a place, to a man.
the line that mentions the camera eye
when they found her, she had, what she said. 
nine photographs of God.

the line that mentions the matter of the one-night stand
but to live in a moment. a series. desire
is never spent, it always replenishes. but when

it doesn't. a hook
that then goes. won't let go.

the line that mentions her again
the one-night stand that lasted five days.
what is the word. industrial turns

against brick. when desire is spent, & she asks
for her change. the end of the road.

the end of the line. her moving tongue, dart
through your mouth.

the line that mentions wine delivery
& the bottle that came out of nowhere, as
we sat by the fire. Courtney
on the telephone, & the last

of my money. an old guitar. Aaron shivered
in the yard.

the line that mentions a short apology
would that this be, what it was,
instead of this.

zen moustache, helping me see
what things can't be changed

or can. a phone number.
her card.

the line that mentions clouds
when you see them.

will you.

let them.

pass.
Sound and Fury:
an interview with Max MIDDLE

This interview was conducted over email from August to November 2004.

Max Middle is an Ottawa based poet, performer, musician and photographer. He is not easily charmed but loves magic. In his spare time, he enjoys swimming, watching snails gallop, eating green vegetables, sleeping and dreaming. Recently, Max has been conducting investigations into sound poetry and improvised music with some very talented collaborators. He is a founding member of the musical and poetry performance experiment, The Max Middle Sound Project, which performed this June as part of the Ottawa International Fringe Festival. In 2004, his chapbook, entitled A Creation Song, comprised of one long poem, was published by above/ground press, and a second title is forthcoming. He hopes to soon be able to update his web site with all kinds of audio and video files.

vacuum

vacuumum

down

thte.he hall dam if up and

visk? vying for vim

a quip nicked. & clean
tiggles shaven
shaking up the leaves

swish of it stretching
yawning smell of a cat
in depredator shell!
**rob mclennan**: How long have you been writing?

**Max Middle**: Wrote from mid-teens to early twenties. Poetry/journalism published in high school publications. Participated in a grade 13 Ottawa high school poetry seminar. Read work on a literary radio show.

Got my wits back about me and started writing again in the last four years, 'seriously' writing for the last year and a half.

**rm**: When I first met you, probably around 1994 or so, you were hanging around Rob Manery and Louis Cabri’s N400 series of readings at the Manx Pub in Ottawa. What was it about the writers and writing they were bringing in that attracted you?

**MM**: I attended just one of the N400 readings at the Manx. It was a performance by poet Aaron Williamson. I believe that was '94ish.

By '94, I was well into an extended period of travel, which brought me in and out of Ottawa and kept me distracted from matters relating to writing. I had attended their [Louis and Rob’s] readings starting in the spring of '91. At that time, the readings were being held in the old Gallery 101 space on Lisgar St near Bank St. They called them 'The Transparency Machine' because the poets would give an informal lecture using overheads on a transparency machine. That would form the first half of the event. The second half would be comprised of a conventional reading. One of the first 'Transparency Machine' talk/readings I saw at Gallery 101 was given by Jed Rasula. I seem to recall that not all of the readings incorporated a talk. I attended a two reader reading in June '91 given by Jeff Derksen and Deanna Ferguson. I'm not sure if they gave a talk before reading. By the autumn of '91 or winter of '92, Rob and Louis were holding readings at Arts Court in Ottawa. In that venue, there were readings given by Charles Bernstein and Erin Mouré amongst others. For the readings at Arts Court, there were critical and other texts employed. I recall a Steve McCaffery reading at Gallery 101 given under their auspices around that time again without the transparency machine.

The 'Transparency Machine' readings were an excellent opportunity to learn first hand from some very engaged writers about the writing process. The writers they were bringing in were generally of the sort that was attempting to innovate. They tended to have an association with language poetry. At that time, being a young feller, having to that point limited exposure to innovative poetics, I was fascinated. Here were poets expanding the parameters of what I understood as poetic form and talking about how they were approaching their work to boot!

**rm**: That's interesting. I actually remember being in the audience for the Aaron Williamson reading, which was absolutely amazing. I mean a deaf sound poet? I remember he had to do an hour of vocal exercises at Rob’s apartment before he did his performance. Some of Rob’s neighbours apparently thought someone was being slowly and horribly strangled.

The influence of all this writing and poetic happening to you so early, where did you go from there? I mean, I remember you from the audiences in Ottawa, later seeing you in Victoria, B.C., but it wasn’t until you were in Edmonton only a couple of years ago that I
even know you were writing. What were you doing in all that time?

**MM:** It was a good stretch of time, lots to cover. Thinking back, I realize I did a lot of stuff! My activities during this time spanned the gamut from studying Linguistics in university to biking about Europe and squatting in London. It was somewhat chaotic but never dull and I’m all the better for having found out about things.

I was enrolled in the English program at the University of Ottawa during the 1991-1992 academic year. Attending Rob and Louis’ readings at the same time was a great complement to the studies or perhaps the studies were the complement to the readings.

In the summer of 1992, I started to travel a lot, first in western Canada and then in Europe returning to Ottawa before heading off again. It was during one of those returned periods I heard Lisa Robertson read in Club SAW, January 1994. Besides these 1994 appearances by Williamson and Robertson, I didn’t attend a whole bunch of readings in Ottawa until last year.

I lived in Montréal from September 1994 to June 1995. During that period, I attended a few readings mostly part of the series ‘Enough Said.’ I heard bill bissett read as part of that series. I was a Linguistics student at Concordia University during the 1994-1995 academic year.

The Linguistics studies have recently helped inform my approach to doing sound poetry. The studies in phonetics helped sensitize my ear to speech sound. Linguists for the most part are interested in understanding language as it is spoken. Writing is of distant concern unless you’re a semanticist or something. Then of course there are all these intellectual inter-disciplinarians who employ the discipline of Linguistics in their theory. For a lot of linguists, written language as a field of study is almost totally irrelevant.

Between 1990 and 1995, I went to the University of Ottawa off and on, bouncing from program to program: from Sociology to English to Linguistics to Visual Arts. After all the program changes, my academic advisor said, hey, you know you could graduate with a BA General if you’d like. That was in 1995 and I decided to take his advice. I took a bunch more Linguistics courses at the University of Alberta starting in autumn 1999 as part of an Education Diploma in Teaching ESL. After finishing that program, I completed a BA Honors after degree in art history. I had a ‘formal studies’ block to fill. I chose a 3rd year Linguistic morphology course. In retrospect, Linguistics seems to be a consistent thread running through a diverse education.

While I was taking the courses for the Diploma in Education, I took an art history survey course on the history of photography with Colleen Skidmore and was captivated. I’d been making photographs for a long time but didn’t know much about the history of the medium. It was the first art history course that I had ever taken. A year later, I’d be a full time art history student. For the academic years, 2000-2001 and 2001-2002, I was enrolled full time taking almost entirely art history courses, save for the odd morphology course and such. In the first year, I took a seminar taught by Allan
Antliff entitled 'Anarchism and Art' not knowing what to expect. The first half of the course was based on the proofs for his book since published, Anarchist Modernism: Art, Politics and the First American Avant-Garde. He’s since gone on to a position at UVic.

It’s one of those confluences you know that changed everything. Antliff suggested the Living Theatre as a subject topic for a paper. I’d never heard of them. The Living Theatre, an anarchist theatre troupe, was founded in New York City in 1947 and still exists today. One of two founders, Julian Beck, died in 1985. Judith Malina, the other founder, is still alive today. With the Living Theatre there is this whole realm of radical thought, thinkers and histories that coalesce: Artaud, Brecht, Wilhelm Reich, Thomas Buber, Paul Goodman. And then another of my enthusiasms is the Situationists but that’s another story.

In another seminar course with Allan Antliff, this one 'American Modernism in the '50s, '60s and early '70s,' I wrote about John Cage, also an anarchist. I didn’t know much of Cage before writing about him. Reading about him was like a prolonged a-ha moment. I was astonished. The idea of getting the individual ego, taste out of the way of making art and chance methods as the means to do that, allowing synchronistic events to occur and so on. It was like the key that opened all these doors. Cage is sort of like an angelic provider; here are the keys, now go and see what you can find.

During the time in Europe in the early nineties, I had been squatting in London, seeking out 'intentional communities,' educating myself about ecological farming practices, permaculture. I was seeking out similar things in British Columbia. These were decisions I arrived at not based out of a political program, but rather out of curiosity. I had begun to identify as an anarchist around that time, in the broadest terms as anti-authoritarian. I’ve always found authoritarian leftism, oxymoronic and repugnant. It’s not as much of a concern today with the collapse of the Soviet Union and disappearance of Stalinism.

Besides all that stuff mentioned above, I spent time in Korea in 1997 teaching ESL. It was an adventure; I developed a lasting fondness for Korean food. Tourèd around France and England in 2001 this time in search of art in the museums and churches. In Edmonton, I attended a good number of the Olive Reading Series readings in 2001 – 2002. I was there on September 11th, 2001 when Tim Bowling read. As you know they run September to April. I was also there for some of their 2002-2003 readings. Most recently taught ESL in Edmonton. Got tired of the latter, tied things up in Edmonton and came back to Ottawa in early 2003 and have been writing, initiating the Max Middle Sound Project, photographing and otherwise implicating myself in things cultural ever since.

"For a lot of linguists, written language as a field of study is almost totally irrelevant."

rm: When I first even heard about you writing was, I think, when you were living in Edmonton, when I’d see you at the Olive series. Were you writing all of this time and simply not telling anyone? What finally brought you out of your shell?
As mentioned in the first answer, there was a long period from the early nineties until around 2000, when I wasn’t writing much, if any, poetry. Started making the first tentative steps back to actively writing poems beginning sometime in 2000. It wasn’t until spring 2002 that I started to read in the Olive open mic set, though I’d started attending the readings the previous fall.

Up until April 2002, I was immersed in art history studies. When I finished the art history degree, I started researching the history of the Lac Ste Anne pilgrimage. The latter is a Catholic pilgrimage whose pilgrims are overwhelmingly aboriginal. It’s one of the biggest aboriginal events on the continent. I spent the five days of the post-peregrination annual event on site, on the lake shore. I stayed with a local Metis family and photographed. The resulting writing and photographs were published in Legacy: Alberta’s Cultural Heritage Magazine the following winter. These things kept my mind quite occupied. I was also teaching ESL.

To summarize: I was quite busy with stuff, writing some poetry when I could but the poems were fairly sparse. By the time, spring 2002 rolled around, I had enough poetry written and was ready to hit the Olive open mic!

What was it like, after writing in solitude for so long, to finally start reading your work in public? Was the aural a consideration of your work before? Was there a shift in the writing because you had started reading it out loud? And what was the reaction?

Certainly, I was thinking about the poems as poems with metre, rhythm, assonance, dissonance. When you read new poems in public, you get an intuitive sense for what works and what doesn’t. The work has matured since I started reading it during open stages. Certainly, the experience of reading in public helps to make one more aware of the work’s weaknesses and strengths. The reaction tended to be very positive and that of course was motivating.

What has been your experience with writing sound poetry, visual poetry, and graphic poetry?

Certainly, I was thinking about the poems as poems with metre, rhythm, assonance, dissonance. When you read new poems in public, you get an intuitive sense for what works and what doesn’t. The work has matured since I started reading it during open stages. Certainly, the experience of reading in public helps to make one more aware of the work’s weaknesses and strengths. The reaction tended to be very positive and that of course was motivating.

What has been your experience with writing sound poetry, visual poetry, and graphic poetry?

I have learned a lot from curry. Being able to see some of the works in his collection has been a real privilege.

I have learned a lot from curry: about sound poetries, visual poetries, Canadian literary history, small press in Canada, bpNichol, printing, small press publishing. Being able to see some of the works in his collection has been a real privilege. Talking to him and seeing some of the visual poetry in his collection has expanded my understanding of visual poetry. Viewing visual poetry by Shaunt Basmajian, David UU and bpNichol has given me a sense of the different ways in which visual poetry has been and can be approached. The visual sequences in curry’s book Objectionable Perspectives have been enlightening.

It was a rare and edifying experience to see/hear curry read with Maria Erskine last February as part of the Poetry 101 series. The reading strategies, like reading above the audience on a staircase, reading into water, wrapping himself up in a sheet, which I found theatrical but which curry denied having any theatrical import were highly interesting.
inventive and brilliantly executed. It was the first time I heard curry read sound poetry. His method of reading visual poetry, taking a text superficially ‘unreadable’ and interpreting it in speech and sound is one that yields fascinating results. The essay that he read was highly informative. Dorothy Howard, editor of Raw Nervz, sent me a copy of the issue of Raw Nervz in which that essay was published.

rm: I remember the party in the backyard I share with jwcurry when you first started playing the shovel, but how and when did the Max Middle Sound Project come into being?

MM: Well, the shovel was discovered in your backyard that night. I was just looking for a percussion instrument with which to make rhythm. There weren’t any instruments intentionally made to be percussive lying about. So I found the shovel and some sticks. The sticks were pieces of kindling as I recall. Thoroughly enjoyed the jam and the party.

The first MediumRAW event had occurred just 10 days earlier on July 9th. Me and Mark Adornato co-founded the event series. We’d met in the spring of that year, 2003 and had discussed the ideas that would inform MediumRAW. I had the impression from people at SAW that they were interested in doing something different with the Club SAW space, something besides the punkottawa orthodoxy. Ultimately, we found out that people in the SAW bureaucracy didn’t mean what they said about doing something different with the space. In the end SAW wasn’t very supportive of our endeavor. It was exorbitantly expensive to put on an independent arts event there, not to mention all the administrative hassles, things like finding a sound technician, things that are taken care of in conventional venues. They said they wouldn’t be able to sponsor the event unless we paid the performers CARFAC rates (which are very high!). That meant that without charging huge amounts at the door or without funding, we wouldn’t be able to pay those rates. Finally, something small scale, underground, independent, multi-disciplinary proved to be a non-starter at SAW. It is ironic given that that’s why artist run centres were created in the first place (and hopefully why they’re still funded – to support local artists doing stuff that’s not necessarily going to be immediately received within more ‘mainstream’ venues).

We moved onto Café Nostalgica turning into the Max Middle Sound Project along the way and did a run at the Fringe. We’ve now performed twice at the Universe City Lounge, a student run venue, in downtown Ottawa.

The Max Middle Sound Project is now comprised of six multi-disciplinary artists, accomplished in music, visual art and literature. These artists met during the MediumRAW events. The series was initiated in order to create a laboratory in which a variety of art forms could be combined in an improvised setting. It was a means for collaboration between artists seeking a format for multi-disciplinary artistic improvisation. MediumRAW environments facilitated the integration of several art forms: music, sound, poetry, sound poetry and performance art into a single performance.

At the first event on July 9th, 2003, an open stage of poetry and music was followed by an extended musical improvisation. MMSP members Marc LeBlanc, Mark Adornato, Mike White and myself were present. I improvised vocals to instrumental music.

A core group of artists coalesced during the MediumRAW events. It is this nucleus that now forms the membership of the MMSP. After the last MediumRAW event in December 2003, I applied to stage a series of performances that would incorporate musical and literary improvisation at the 2004 Ottawa International Fringe Festival. The
application was successful and a series of nightly performances were planned between June 18th and 25th, 2004.

As a venue host at the Ottawa International Fringe Festival, the MMSP featured a diverse group of performers. Musical guests included a rock band, a group of classically trained musicians and a jazz band. Improvised music and sound poetry linked the performances thematically. At each performance Middle read poetry and vocalized sound poetry. Music was improvised by the evening’s guests. I performed sound poetry with each group of musicians. A strictly musical improvised set was also performed nightly. The six performances at the Ottawa Fringe Festival were a huge success in terms of attendance and in the presentation of a variety of musical and literary art not often seen at Fringe festivals.

Of the six performances, there was only one that did not include music. An experimental literary performance was staged on Tuesday, June 22nd, 2004. The readers were Melanie Little, myself, Peter Norman and Wanda O’Connor. Amongst other investigative reading activities, a found text was read simultaneously at fast, slow and usual speeds.

The final Ottawa Fringe performance was given by current MMSP members, Adornato, LeBlanc, Middle, Sonier and White. Following the Ottawa International Fringe Festival run, Adornato, LeBlanc, Middle and White performed as the Max Middle Sound Project, the featured musical act at the Café Nostalgica in Ottawa on August 20th, 2004. The entire MMSP membership, Adornator, LeBlanc, Middle, Sonier, White and Wilkinson performed in Ottawa as the featured act at the Universe City Lounge on October 16th, 2004. We’re performing this Saturday, November 28th, 2004 at the Universe City Lounge.
b being still

beauty can be found
b being still
stood outside the gate
ages did was too shandy
meant much from the high place
wants mountain makes tea
mould can be lurching
ice ovr a little told
tells skimming in a float plane
slicks picked unsanguineous
safe to raise that arm again
the one with the pen in it
a tattooist decrees left to right
countermand stuff of crime
graphemes extracted simmering
man while manshie d d flew

faced me the reflection floats
in here this side of mirror
said i heard stood what was stolen
poondle basha full trash na go
alright i said fistula
genria janria chip kelta
stiff off the surface stick
stooshing mouth off walk
smooth schnorkel pipe smoker
strokes the shimmering water
a face stoking through the gate
pillows a sail on a rise futuring
A Creation Song

Before night absorbs the last shades of day into its cloak
and feet begin to chatter across a known typography of clocks and brown air,
the first airy morning of a new universe dawns
with the mouth of darkness disgorging its pigment on a scintillant cosmos.
The earliest stroke of daylight smells of chaos; rivulets of sap break the ground.
And loosed from the marrow of night, an egg adrift chaos is ablaze:
light separates from dark, sun begins its journey across the sky.

The aether is a door invisible in darkness.
The hand on the door is first sanguine,
then it slams scintillant S fits doorways.
Or, S sense shiitake blam palo alto pall tree lap thud.
Out of E there, poultry flutter of wings,
lacking all, all that a flaccid ant eater could.
Flopsicle easer kicks the keeper’s shackles, picks a pal
eager as straphic, traffic stalled as afferestic,
analeptic to a papa point of paratchik paparazzi,
loftitude, a stratospheric let down into a slide of
laughed a lot when lancelot’s allotted lands doubled.
Hopscotch to take on a chance leashing it to fermentation.
Alone best left on a pot to boil out the trouble.
An hour steamed soft tall ships afloat left ticking
aloud on clouds, salt crumbs and balloons.

Yesterday on the bus, somebody asked what was ready.
Another person asked what was being read;
Today they are both asking what are you writing?
What are you doing? How the fuck are you?
Taking the grease to chance and finding it in there,
without station walls or limits or tacked up posters or
starvation lacking victims or lurking predators without prey.
new snow puffed louder peeled on the purchased affluence of powdering a powerful person on the nose on the loose.

Because a pastime crimps a boiled plant to toughness
and it's off the fuffle, now that the stink is gone and the best of the plant eaten or planted stuffed became the rest,
flaccid afloat and laughed a lot like a smile in the tackle berries
with alotta rolla hopscotch taking a chance leasing it to cherries.

A lotta roll around Tony with the Rolex
made with how much chance heated in a litre
of went ditched with pieces of hose mixed upside.
You want to get in but nobody will mind your absence,
just note that you might get in, but never mind that,
fade in and isolate the apparatchik learning new dance steps.
Never mind trancing, the brain is just smelling its chances,
chucking loads of procPsverbaux into a procession.
’Cause lotsa rolla gotta letta prole up to the window,
into swinging pictures before the roll over of 1789.
Get in with the right crowd and swing a lot to the better letters
with cut in half pop songs, gotta bell ramp in elle B la
’cause cut halves don’t make right singing mindful soup spoons,
full of suspicion, just in the happy hemispheric hunt for trip
and trap and happy flopping Shakers in the shadow of Cathars.
In that lap, nobody remembered, the other sex, in your quarters,
at night, Fra Angelico crackle on, patron saint of artists.
In the monastery the same sex may be mindful of the way you feel
although they are a ship full of streamers cascading across fields of flowers
and crowds of flags waving war makers on and on and on.
Gotta make it across the hunt lotta bella, gotta mind it wisely,
chucking loads of flags on the way rolling humble in hemisphere,
alongside hunters and saints going to meet their ends with thunder in the brine,
back to the same place the blood goes night after night,
and we say we eat the same way every day, walking, talking streamers
to my own ship full of streaming, say we can stand without the flags
and the tears and the on of off is turned on to the point that it’s just
out of a lab with lots of chemistry and the roll off of perspirant. Much hope bouncing in a litre of shake a chance too iffy to get in first nobody will mind your absence, just note that you can’t get in but never mind that, the blood is the same in the ocean is all round humming to human feelings, plant animal, shelter generating why outers if cuffed to get in with the right crowd and swing a lot to the letter, suave and coiffed, angel bright angel stars, of the hungry ones: wait for the hungry ones, crippled against rage, filling the night, without a sound for the sleepless can drink from naked form; that shelter is ocean is gut plant fibre outer of leaf of Ahab wave awe. Take whirling dolphins and their numbers slacken the how from slavery; at land’s sight all the beast and bulrush come chiming through the nose.

Head conscious again, taking shelter off the ocean, the sea obsession: in dwellers by the fire comfort war machines airplanes factories, forcing the man made shackles; waging war airplane factories. Never mind if you can’t get in because this is the outer limit of profit chance; was profit was a chance became a profit became a number mimicked nose and smelt a lot like worship or the burning of birch, freedom of worship left a lot to roll around rollers, fiddling with how much chance, freedom from worship like the burning of birch comfort nose. Airplane factories flagging fugitive the social make up of in between. Control tucks Tim in and comfort is all the rest he dreams between the sheets extant.

Abo abo avo above errant exit of F fry. A F fry cans how much chance, how much more hope U O you ought to know you let the cat out to the UFO and the Pluto fakes but that’s how it mines the absence, tickles the outer limits of chance for profit, hacks comfort from war airplanes’ trance. Tim is now many miles underground in the mine, above, spitting out of the spent dust, the orphaned offspring of chaos emerge. Combustible sputter of phlox, firefly and phizogs turning in the fog: fire in all living things issued from primordial ground being chaos the birth tattoo charting the life cycle of a chastened cosmos. Flares, fizgigs and star needles combing night’s abstinent flesh; flames welling the mined fissures; crows cawing for the ghosts of freedom. The folks back home never signed the treaty, never saw the soldiers.
How many beer cans did it take to make an army?
Not enough to fit in the cave with J Tipping Wad who shook a fist, wagged a finger,
to let you know he never had any stubbled scar tissue needing shelter.
Only touched you to see if you're still there.
In the dirt above shelter, liberty was never achieved and bargained away.
Did someone ask why? and Tim's been down all the while,
asking for up drunk, drunk on amour fou, just for that moment
when you saw him through the floorboards,
splashing through a thousand drunk tanks.
Anemone, purer than the clearest sky purring,
perennially ready satellite, further than any late 20th century efforts
and deaths, who can remember who or why they fed themselves to the cameras or
earlier to the 1st world war trenches.
Just J being Jake in the nib of now being benign:
take what you want because he'll take with him what he needs
when he comes up the ladder from yesterday,
absconding with his twin brother's blessing.

Plant has gone to factory getting harder, holding fire.
A scorpion grows in shade of shiny steel.
Heedless, a panther stalks prey down a throttled engine
and downtown she finds a helpless clutch of engines quaking.
She feeds with a full appetite to static background
in a time and place with ladders opened up to a cracked ceiling
the stagehand forgot yelling for more rope, gone but promised
a salad he longed to scratch the fibre from.
Known because under a recorder remorse was found.
A mouse remounting desire aloud, unlidded, wrapt green, jungle platter, near
end tea colour:
the scene thought through with paralinguistic details everything from um and ah to
sighs and screams to some interjection, grimace or shuffle.
But nobody cared for the loss, nothing written note
crowd callouses show little of a knitted late 20th century
wrapt green in a shallow grave without a funeral but for up it went, it went with the
sputum that it made becuddling its elder brother before he went off again
and wagered his stakes to the aether.
Built on the all again of legging that made it just plain,
played like a game with all the nations waiting in line
to emulate an older sister who is everything just released from night,
a player in the original act of creation.
Riding out on rip tides of enthusiasm,
in love with their own hair, nationalism, smelling of urine.
Waking up welcomed to i wasn’t in love for the sake of it or the dream or a body or making again or any such thing that could be remembered. Just imaginable downtown with an engine left on combusting a jogger, passing a coiled anaconda on the riverbank, redolence of ardour and assimilation staggers off from the limits of Shakers who intentionally communed, imagining a community with all its members seeking homeostasis, too much water and the sisters and brothers are in search of salt, swimming downtown only to find the streets closed to Liberation Square, demanding paradise and returning without groceries.

In the most extreme case of circus mania an acrobat extracted me, blue tinged, from a fish hook locked deep inside a drained lake in deserted night.

The following day after swimming or jogging not remembering which, i was at home alone. Downstairs an exiled cricket chirped at perfect intervals. The morning chaos appears afloat a new dreamt universe, sails are unfurled in darkness and rivulets of sap trill the ground: oil slicks a puddle; a flag is folded and left on the shelf. An egg adrift the marrow of night is ablaze and hatching, sun begins its journey across the sky.
BOLSHEVIK TENNIS!

Haul down the nets. Erase the painted lines
That separate the people from the court.
Blot out every logo: thwart the designs
Of those who would make profit of the sport.

Let service serve; let ranking be repealed.
Cast off your bourgeois white; dress up in red.
Put down the racket you were taught to wield
And raise the racket of revolt instead.

Dethrone the umpire and his random will—
His proclamations have been foul indeed!
Let each man play according to his skill;
Let each man score according to his need.

And some day yet, we shall be free of score:
Love will serve love—game, set and evermore.
from The Luskville Reductions

Birds winch into the sky
the heart on its pulleys
the hinges of winter
all the beautiful machines
stop.
stop.
Does the weather
move to some kind of resolution?

Not by itself.

Mid-March, and the river-ice undoes itself
the ideology of water
lifts it

slow groan in the limbs, deep
in the run

low-resolution
faults tromped into the structure

directly under your feet
although they sound as if they
were miles away

and they are.
Yes the faculty of language
is the condition a priori de tout genre de discourse

but being alive in the world
is the condition a priori de tout faculté de langage.

Consider: hinged beetles
    yes, hinged beetles

    eat the world.
Spackle
of cherts and quartzite

a granite wall
for a backyard.

Perhaps they outlive us.

Evidence of heat
outlives the heat.

If you don’t have flesh
then not having flesh

will have to do.
Does anyone need boxes?

I’ve got hundreds.
And can’t stand
the smell of cardboard anymore.

The space
that was in them
is still a space somewhere

but these boxes are empty.

I pulled them apart and calmed down
all those loose flaps
and flattened them

for the dumpster.

But there is always
the available volume of the world.

And more boxes.
I couldn’t stop

but now
I’ve stopped.

What is the suitable distance
between stopping

and saying
you have stopped?

Between writing
and saying you have written?

It’s not the fall that kills you.
Say the falling.
The new roof
attracts the spring rain.

Stains
appear again on the ceiling.

This is how the dream-life of rain
gets interpreted

the outside leaks in
and someone explains it.

Whoever has to fix
the roof again just

stops dreaming.
Color of April.

Les chutes roared

falling down the hard stairs
of the Gatineaus
overflowing the creek

and flooding the
only road in
for a week.

We got to work at home
for a couple of days
then it all subsided.

The moon, which
had been reflected
in acres of waterbound cornfields

makes do
with something less

the claim, for instance
that there never was any reflection

and the claim
that it was working all the time.
A fine blue mold
with delicate struts
and fires

has appeared
on the partially-squeezed
lemon you left in the fridge.

To survive
it doesn’t need much

but it will never
be satisfied with survival.

It wants everything.
Everything in the fridge

and then some.
What descends
on all the things
of my lifetime

and makes them inexhaustible.

Was it just your kiss?

On the seams
of all the things

just the loneliness
of your mouth?

What enters?
What escapes?

Desolate
countable stars

shine.
Chris Turnbull

pieces taken

(walls of their lights and brackets

an itchy dust in letters
when they fail, it is prime
laquer, spackle in their finish;
it is descent
reforming when abducted
for chisel, pressing, material

much too
lost
for favours. lost me when
when

warp when
bend-hazard. yes, like
that.

& I
under pressure

as for the bouffant hairdos still being practiced in the beauty shops of the West,
for the questionable masculinity of the ozone hole over Edmonton and the extent
of known drift: chemicals skied, worn, or transported in the pressurized containers of bodies

I can only tell you the label says 'explosive'/ the women must be hot, they take off their blouses/
the blue edge, pursed tense then rapidly undulating cartoon labia Pink Floyd–grotesque.

if butterfly wings do storms – what this in Japan?
the PM won’t declare a state of emergency – too male, too Liberal.
Toronto’s upgraded Terror Threat Level to Yellow/Elevated – fashion police standing by.

II.
as CBC timefiller, Emergency Preparedness Canada warns 'Prepare for Tomorrow Today.'
I try
but tomorrow turns into today and there’s no siren. 7:30 in Newfoundland
the fish are finished dinner; Alberta they’re just getting hungry. the people are hungry all the time. Ann-Marie at Tangles 50th could tell you

breathing spray all day the back of your throat, sticky, feels starved. or Grandma Britton owned the Churchill Beauty Salon of Saskatoon did Joni Mitchell’s mom’s hair, six-degreeing us to another’s fortune greater than our immediate-degree women will ever get but where’s a song for her,

perm solution chemicals pressurized the contained space of the basement salon,
of her lungs

the oxygen tank forty years too late
connected to her nose
not a building’s exhaust system.

food the only pleasure now and only the pleasurable food
air supply won’t fit the size of her container    stupid doctor says
go ahead the open flame, too late, let her keep smoking. one day soon
in Summerland seniors’ apartment complex Winnipeg, whatever season

(under pressure cont’d)

the perpetual summer sun of artificially lit atriums will explode,

University Crescent

light up the rest of its moon a moment

a new hole tear in the feminine-open Manitoban

sky
blouses blow off along with their shadows
and everyone’s nearby head proximity-bouffed.

III.
who or where you are, Alberta’s coming for you.

in L.A. Joni prepares to release an
album of prairie-
girl songs she’ll dedicate her Little Green, daughter she gave up for adoption
never knew living clouds above Maidstone, Saskatchewan license plate motto come to life/
became a citified model.

in Cold Lake I’m saving money, taking
my license-
test with a new used beat-up pickup truck, thinking my way East
to you,    thinking West
at you    getting there

slower

than Greyhound Canada eternity    (locomotive process
begun in mind not diesel

but getting there.
Alberta winter gothic

walking in the milk-draped provincial park
birch bark wind-peeled in transparent strips reveals
more colours than every missing leaf my boots
trailblaze deep for your shoes, prints chill your feet

watching silent as disaster surveyors the broken-tree
sculptures one like a boat builds itself out to dock
with a sky turned bluer steel than snow for eyes
in it long enough, shade of lake ice scraped clean

to the drifted-in amphitheatre, breaker ship cutting the park
with capacity-audience of bench snowpiles captive til thaw
knees me deep in stage. you listen to my memory poem,
think how it isn’t for you like so much else

while gazing long darkening treelines for creature
hunger sensed there. but the French boy who lurks in his poem
stealthy as the ghost of a deer heavy as a dreaming bear
steps further into the bush. on entering your ears
my words and I only ever been yours.
he couldn't believe me about herons unnamed
in the café coffee table book: one Great Grey

one Great Blue searched each page
for labeled ones speculated
each long-necked wrong-coloured bird
could be the same. what does it mean
he can’t trust me about those

beautiful birds, can’t trust me
about beauty, my own he says is there
that without label I can’t name and disbelieve him

riffle through books for my long neck
my beak, needing to know what I’m called –
but the books are mirrors and magazines, my
GreatGreyGreatBlue eyes aren’t there

I fly different. pictures in a book don’t do
justice, he prefers his herons his heroines
more fabulous how close up in person

at Wakaw Lake, one Blue sighting per lifetime
is handful of feathers brushed across your palm
when you point its trail across the sky, air
getting softer confused by point of view effect
through its clear lens, breeze

blowing tiny hairs back of your hand
so fingers ride enigma wingman, delicate shotgun
Blake’s house: July

weird global warming north Alberta summer so humid
everything sticks together, sugar salt parmesan
so days have more flavour we get too close

and stuck together down your basement, cool damp
skin sings for warm contact and the top sheet,
Van Morrison sings about T.B. Sheets dust on the needle
crackles him up from basements of vinyl grooves, iced tea
slipstreams slow caffeination, electrifies light through green
Venetian slats from white stormclouds skimming top

quiet cottoned in, dragging soft subsonic friction
of a bathtowel your finegrain cheeks, my latenight legs
dripping from the shower drying each other off our

fog mirror reflections candle-bled we share one skin,
listen for voices out the door before we run for it grab
our mixed-up scattered clothes, our one-skin clothes

and streak
love in wartime

standing in the nightpark under full moon,
over snow packed by the footprints of children
light from above and below meet in your face:

you are mostly reflection. outside Iraq
the troops are landing. I ask you to make it stop
and you do    like god in a dream tends to comply

you do, but you’ve only made me forget.
love in wartime is imperfect, can no longer solve all.
this love I feel is my rejoice for the world until I realize:

guns are still being loaded in the backs of army trucks
while the road bumps with the jolt of tomorrow’s recoil
in parallel time. startled by the sound I slip on ice,

grab for the hand that was almost there.
empathy in the age of television

statistic-zeros  mouth
the collective scream
of worldwide dyers and grieveries,
we strain to hear or shut

rake
muscle fibres and sinews back
to collective-unconscious past,
caveman-immediate recognitions

and then

no escape from feeling the sound,
nerves exposed and ended, frayed /
sound like salt on a wound,
salt on a slug  the meaty heart,
the heart ripped out to air
by the opening-wide stretch
and teeth-rend,
doubt caught in the gnashing.

we cannot “grasp the concept”
(as it’s said of all that death) but

when it all screams together
surrounding us,
the round world curves
into a mouth bloody with screams /
secret tremors jitter out from zeros
(both statistic and ground), and ripple

to every living man, travel spines
to ring each vertebrae one-by-one
up the column

until the heart rattles painful,
*(empathy in the age of television cont’d)*

a rung bell,
funereal,

innately understanding /

nearly killing itself to feel
outside the National Gallery 12/01

because we look through your tinted sunroof
the sky turns around itself clouds inside-
out their shadows surface

sky brown-green
colour of tornadoes :wind in vertical flags
sounds drama classroom thunder. we climb
the tank

embrace stillness silent storm
descending dark, lowering readymade thunder –
silver-spired cathedral / stone buildings / concrete
wind, your black coat against it all solid
unmoving me hanging on molds you an anchor
this boat above roiling sea below pavement
sky flagpoles and flags: masts and sails: cars
float by –

II
your black coat against it all solid unmoving
:carves you statue shapes me sculptor / tank
a headstone, masts gate sentinels (cathedral doors
St. Peter waiting) / storm-sky hell or limbo / wind
urging decision

(afterall for us to make
III

your black wool coat against it all solid you the one unmoving in dreamscape, shifting with lava cloudfloes in wind / cathedral spires erupting through their silver / window fissures / pavement: techtonic, cooled sky / this country the island spread out from it / flagpoles: tallships buried (evidence: water once) / sky billows smoke and ash not ready (yet) to rain but rising pressure felt in ears / pounded out by churchbells ringing six o’ clock / time forms real / bells ring us back to real /

IV

we drive away your red car you your black coat against it all solid only real thing kept you real / holding on you kept me corporeal kept the world from splitting up into storm / ocean / heaven / hell / cemetary / volcano / apocalypse (outside the National Gallery cont’d)

peaceful, we, against it all

on a tank our cannon pointed all potential targets : silent open mouth waiting for the kiss that later (in your new apartment) won’t go off [last second sensing danger (a cannon could fire any moment, could explode)].
framing Riopelle

two weeks before he died, one week before you were dead to me Madame’s giant Riopelle lay on the floor of Market Gallery, black splotches like the close-up of a gnat landing pad. I helped you frame it,

held down corners and it was you holding me down through my own hands. I was a flea on the painting of your life, an unwanted fly on your wall. somehow I think we killed him,

that he couldn’t breathe behind all that museum glass we cased him in – I couldn’t breathe there either. glossproof detours the welcome glare, blinds out part of the total picture,

plasticizes the natural scene. lets the specimen fly away from a cage of woven light dripping ink that turns to shadow on contact.
nineteen degrees of away

alive in what happened after,
what came before now gone
missing hippocampus unanchored
the memory set adrift, the
stubborn remembering brain matter
that housed it, burnt down selective
selection unmade songthreads untied,

let fall into a room only quiet still
in my head: top of the stairs, and straight
you picked up and carried me
cross another bride’s threshold,
unthresholded by holding me white petals
from her years-ago bouquet, her
gathered bunch of longstemmed years

drip out of brittle air, land under
your feet going black looming faces
of the wedding party fade back
each step misplaced eyeless smiles
don’t recognize a wrong daughter
cherished in your eyes. am I

wearing her body now for you is she
stretched over me like new skin
on a drum of heart heard
through yours, mine amplified who do you see in my face
when you want me, her hands
from my arms holding your neck
only not to fall, knowing I shouldn’t trust
but I do, and on your wedding bed
you _lay me down to_
like the first man, the first woman
but she’s Eve _stoned prophet_
wrote me in a wrong chapter.
the verse of your heart drowns
mine, speaks louder _not prayer_
but bare hymn, breaking bleak
on the octaves _unholy music,
untruth by notes_ a backwards
_hallelujah_ but when I sit up,
to the general beyond-your-life,
universe of space and headspace _to_
the zooming-out limit of light
where the shutter-eye of a god
imagined as a girl, spying on me all times
blinks final and looks away.
if nothing else you taught me
what I thought of as god is my conscience,
the only angels are snow.

before instant distance almost as far
as now, having left the country
the naked look in your eyes
feels honest, true, holy,
impossible how _did you see_
what I wanted and couldn’t

not want for that fraction, _right_
and _wrong_ digress _two energies mingle_
on a fleetingly anonymous bed
trying to draw close the bodies
they belong to, action at a distance

then clearer than ever,
winter sky when the cold hits
I move away from you, I move away
from the bed the house nights this night
from days today weeks this one months
years cities soluble provinces an ocean of
countryside
Catullus 2

Sparrow, my Lesbia’s pet
that she holds between her breasts
and lets flutter in her hands
and on her head
laughing as he chirps
coming to her again and again,
she teases him with her fingertips
earning stinging pecks to her delight.
I wish I could dampen my desire
of her by playing with you, little sparrow.
I would dream of her naked smell
through your pecks to quench my miseries.

Catullus 3

O Venus and longing Sorrow
my Lesbia’s sparrow is dead!
He was her delight.
Tears are wetting her cheeks
for she loved him more than
her eyes, for her he was
sweeter than honey.
He thought she was his mother
hopping on her head
playing with her hair;
now he has passed
to the dark shadows
where there is no return.
Orcus destroyer of all beauty
has stolen from me my beautiful
sparrow and now my Lesbia’s eyes
are swollen red with tears.
Catullus 25

Thallus, you nasty little sodomite,
you’re softer than rabbits fur, softer than goose
marrow
or the gentle flesh of earlobes,
you’re softer than an old man’s flopping penis
and the spider webs growing there.
Thallus, you’re greedier than the wind in a fierce
storm making off with whatever’s not nailed down.
Give me back my coat, my Saetaban napkin,
and my Thynian tablets that you cart around
saying they are your family heirlooms,
or else I will thrash your grasping hands
and your fat little ass ’till you twist and turn
like a small boat caught
in my great storm on the open sea.

Catullus 33

Vibennius, most grasping of bath house thieves,
stealing even the clothes of the unfortunate.
Even your son has inherited your grasping instinct,
but
he clutches only with his buttocks.
Both of you ought to go to hell
or at least into exile,
for the people now know what you are up to
and your son is so ugly
he can’t even sell his hairy ass for a meal.
Catullus 6

Flavius, you’re not telling your Catullus of your love. You think I don’t know she’s a cheap whore. You are embarrassed! Your bed with its Syrian oils and leaves does not speak of you lying alone at night. Also your indented pillows! You cannot silence the creaks and jostlings of your bed. Why do you need to hide your embarrassments? Tell us everything! I want to call it joyfully to the Heavens in verse!
Catullus 11

Fureus and Aurelius, loyal friends,
who would accompany me
to the outermost reaches of India
where the shore clashes
with the resounding waves of the dawn

or to the land of Hyrcania, or Arabia, or even to
Sacia,
or among the archer Parthians,
or where the seven mouthed Nile
colours the sea.

Or even cross the high Alps
to gaze on the deeds of Caesar,
the cold Gallic Rhine, or remote Britain,
they are resolved to try all this at once
or whatever the will of the Gods.

I asked them to tell my Lesbia a few parting words
though they are not very good.

May she live very well with her new batch of lovers
however many hundred they may be.
May she embrace three hundred at once
May none of them truly love her,
may she rupture them from repetition.

May she never be able to look back on my love
which she has killed by her carelessness
as a wild flower growing on a field’s edge
is grazed by a passing plow.
Catullus 13

Fabullius my dear friend in a short time
you will feast with me in my own house
if the gods will allow it. But you will
have to bring the feast and the wine
and the beautiful girls and the laughter
and wit that is brought by their presence.
My feast depends on this since
the purse where I used to keep my coins
is now home of a spider, but
at your feast I will present you
with a lotion from my Lesbia
which was given her by a priestess of Venus.
When you smell it Fabullius,
you will wish to the gods you were a giant nose.

Catullus 69

How can you be so surprised
no woman will embrace you Rufus;
even when you tempt their virtue
with rare gifts and beautiful clothes,
even when you give them valuable stones
they shrink from your naked embrace.
The reason is that you smell like a goat,
And when you lift your arms
you smell like the whole herd.
But you are so used to it
you can no longer smell it.
So get rid of your beast
and stop asking why
the women of Rome
have such high virtue.
Catullus 101

I have come across many countries
and across the sea,
carrying my sorrow to your grave,
my brother.
My words are too late and fall
unanswered before your silent dust.
I offer you now the ancient
gift rites of the dead wet with my tears.
Hail forever my brother, and farewell.

Catullus 97

I almost can’t tell if it makes any difference
greeting Aemilius from the front or behind.
The smell is so awful. Perhaps his ass is less hideous
because it has no teeth. They’re a foot long,
his gums are rotting, and his lips are like a donkey’s cunt
as they part on a hot day while she is taking a piss.
He says he fucks the girls. He thinks he’s charming.
He is so dumb he can’t even walk a miller’s donkey
around a grinding wheel.
If there’s a woman anywhere who would touch him,
she’d have no problem licking the diseased ass
of an old hangman as he waits between jobs.
Ezra Pound’s parable about the naturalist Louis Agassiz is always worth repeating. According to Pound, poets can learn much by considering how Agassiz dealt with a post-graduate student whom he instructed to describe a small sunfish. The student first submits a taxonomic summary written in language and terms “used to conceal the common sunfish from vulgar knowledge.” Rejecting this, Agassiz repeats: describe the fish. The student puts together an essay, but the unimpressed Agassiz tells him to look at the fish. “At the end of three weeks the fish was in an advanced state of decomposition, but the student knew something about it.” It is hard to know where our pity is most deserved — with the student, with Agassiz, or perhaps with the sunfish.

The common fruitfly may be called *Drosophilia* on formal occasions, but knowing that does not mean that one knows anything about that fly, or the fly that will quickly succeed it, or the next, or the next. The pace at which they reproduce is what makes them ideal for studies of evolution (Agassiz took an archly skeptical attitude towards Darwin). It is a little dizzying to consider that more generations of fruitflies have been studied for mutations and adaptations than there have been generations of homo sapiens. It also goes a long way to explain why Stephen Brockwell, whose previous book eyed the trajectories of comets and tasted geometries, should take an interest in these little creatures which seem to spring to life from uneaten fruits. The “generations of possible geographies” serve as theme to his new collection of poetry, which moves from saying goodbye to fathers to wondering about the futures of daughters.

Has Brockwell learned the lesson of Agassiz? *Cometology* (2001) revealed Brockwell the geometer, sensuous lover of forms (however abstract or mathematical the forms may seem); Brockwell the entomologist seems a more dubious proposition. The former’s pleasures in the shape and shaping of ideas approach giddiness —joyriding along the Möbius Strip: “O Gétan, I ruin am: / man, I urinate. Go. / You return, upside down, / underneath, facing the same way”— but the ideas are always given their due; the ideas inspire the pleasure and shape the poetry. The latter blocks his own microscope, appears in good profile in each slide photograph. Consider how Brockwell addresses “Weeds”:

> Bees love you; they too abandon nothing. Under the eaves they build their hives.

> In the sun—baked air outside the air—conditioned car, your flowers provide them pollen grains to feed on.

The rhyme scheme gives a kind of fragrance to the disjunctions presented here. The weeds become integrated, a part of the ecosphere both providing and provisional. But the weeds do not themselves signify: that is, the weeds only become significant, have significance thrust upon ‘em, by the gaze of the occasional verse narrator, the benign lyric. Brockwell’s clarity comes with a pretty inflexible subjectivity in the driver’s seat (of, one might press on, "the air—conditioned car," safely sealed off from the natural world in which he hopes to catch glimpses of his own reflection). Poems like this represent a very brief excursion from the self; as
they often end with a return to the self, it is not
difficult to see that, in these excursions, the inter-
est in the natural world "outside" is all too often a
happy pretext for self-examination. Nothing
wrong with that, of course, but it does make for
spotty field research. A poem called "Monique"
concludes with the accent not on her lost history
but on pity for those who briefly wonder about her:
"Where under the earth her mother lies / we have
little hope of knowing." The city "Montreal" is
haiku’d to close at "my bags," the narrator’s affir-
mation of his own visitor status. Subjects are only
ostensible, quickly filed away.

The sunfish is only described insofar as it affects
—perhaps, though this is not explored very far, effects— the scribe. "The ideal scribe," writes
Brockwell, "has no understanding / of the contents
of a message." This notion prompts an anecdote
worth analyzing:

It is said the king’s trusted scribe Nasul
transcribed his own death sentence.
Loyal in matters of the text,
beautiful, naVve Nasul
seduced the king’s
daughter in her royal chambers.

What he did in the other chambers of the palace
(this sentence uttered with Groucho aplomb) we’ll
never know. The scribe does not know himself,
never mind the contents of the message — if,
indeed, they are separate. "The History of Scribes"
is, I think, somewhat tragic for Brockwell; but
from another point of view, the words "beautiful,
aVve" seem like flattering code for "vain,
ignorant" and Nasul just another hollow man,
inspiration for hollow verse.

It is strange to say that Brockwell is most engrossing
when he thinks about thinking. His sunfish does
not decompose because there is no real sunfish
—no fruitflies but the ideas of fruitflies— within his
poetry. "Three Deaths of Hippassus of
Metapontum," for example, is a conjecture on the
apocryphal story about the student of Pythagoras
who vexed his master with the suggestion of
irrational numbers. Here is elegance:

To think it was one thing,
to say it, another; you could have breathed
for years
thinking it.

According to one account, Hippassus was drowned
for his insubordinate square roots. Where Nasul is
a case of nullity, a voicing without self-consciousness
about a lack of self-consciousness, Hippassus is
Brockwell’s deeper subject, studied more carefully
(in every sense of the word). Here the student
measures the entire being of the sunfish: "No fish
perceive / their ecstasy" and yet, being ecstatic
(literally, outside of themselves), who needs
mere perceptions?

Brockwell ends "Dining in Eden" with a too-
well-turned phrase which encapsulates both the
conflicts and weaknesses of Fruitfly Geographic, as well
as the admirable volition behind it: "The tongue
hunger / more than it longs to name." The
sequence here betrays the attempt —"name" wins
the day at the end— and Brockwell’s precise, some-
times illuminating taxonomies represent a poetic
sensibility ready to do no more than name hungers
other than its own.
It is rumored that, early in his poetic career, Ezra Pound spent a year writing sonnets. It is also reported that at the end of this year of metered glory, he threw all of his sonnets away. There is something enigmatic and important to Pound’s gesture: one, he realized the importance of the poetic form and, perhaps, the discipline and attention it teaches; two, he realized that either he was no sonneteer or that the time of the sonnet had passed and that saving his creations would have only been necrophilia.

This isn’t to say that we should base our understanding of the current context of the sonnet on Pound, but to forward the point that when writers (especially poets) talk of craft, quite often they think of sonnets. No other form since the sonnet quite captures what is meant by creativity within restraint. In a way, the sonnet is the Sit. Com. of poetic forms – a certain amount has to happen in 14 lines, there has to be a twist (preferably between lines 8 and 9), there has to be wit and rhyme and, quite often, it can work well within a larger narrative structure. In this present time of free verse, experimental verse and lyric, most writers have been tempted to play the occasional sonneteer – either to explore the form or to use the form to rail against it. And most of us have come to our senses and either tied our sonnets in a bundle and placed them in the attic to gather dust or pushed them to the hidden corners of our hard drives.

And so, what to make of a *Wild Clover Honey and the Beehive*, a chapbook of sonnets by Stephen Brockwell and Peter Norman? First, a comment on the book itself.

I think the chapbook form is perfect for this collection of sonnets. The sonnet is and can be a monotonous form and one that can, once the number of them gets into the double digits, begin to read like a personal essay. [Having studied Shakespeare’s sonnets over the years, I feel I have the right to say that the man wrote far too many and any respectable editor would have whittled them down to fifty. The fact that the sonnet survived Shakespeare is a miracle.] But Brockwell and Norman have restrained themselves to 14 poems each (notice the importance of that number) for a total of 28. Another comment on the book: the paper is fine as is the layout of the text; however, I wish they had chosen to stitch the spine rather than staple it. Stitching a chapbook of this size is quite easy and improves the look and durability considerably. I hate seeing such great paper wrecked by staples.

This is a book about writing and about poetic form (“We need to cultivate uncertainties / of organic form if we hope to be fed.” says Brockwell in his first entry, followed by “From is most formal at its most organic” by Norman) and, as such, has a limited audience of appeal; it is a bit like dentists arguing (though with flowery vocabularies) over extraction techniques. Although the writers try to torque the style and form a bit to its modern context, this is also the sonnet of the 16th century and not that of Donne and Milton who broke out of the *rigor amores* in which the sonnet had been.

Being a bit of a sonnet lover and a hater, I both liked and disliked this book concurrently – which, I think, is the book’s goal and success. The book showcases through its many samples both the versatility of the sonnet form and the occasional doldrums of content compelled by numbers. Quite often, reading the book, you get the feeling that it wouldn’t mind tearing itself in two and throwing itself, Pound-like, away. Yet, at the same time, the book does work and can be a great read —
especially in its first half where we witness a well-tuned argumentative structure and the interplay of intertwined styles between Norman’s enthusiastic, yet somehow metrically contained, romps and Brockwell’s more meditative (even when enthusiastic) ambles:

**A Plea for Anarchy**
I’ve had enough of metaphors of youth!
Give me the cormorant’s view of the sky,
the toad’s view of the forest canopy.
In boundary and margin find the truth
of the sonnet’s centering of the mouth.
We broke the ladder rungs, fell from the tree,
drunk on fermented fruit, in ecstasy,
with fallen apples and a broken tooth.

**Sure, Be Marginal!**
In with the frog’s-eye view of swamp, and out
With egocentric peopledome? Okay,
Give voice to green and croaky things; go play
Your interspecial tune, although I doubt
Your listeners speak Reptilian too. Without
The mouth (decentralized) and its array
Of (busted) teeth, and tongue, what would you say?
Yes, fall from trees, but please – be sure to shout.
Yet, because much of the book is about writing, it
does become less surprising and less energetic as
the poems venture beyond the staple mark of mid-way. The argumentative structures and
metaphors of chaos and control, cultivated vs.
wild, are expected and repeated. However, there
are occasional hurrahs as the authors throw in the
odd haiku.

The poems are at their best when writing about sex.
Here the form works well to contain and to
enhance the teenaged machismo of both writers:

**Sex and the Sonnet**
Human minds bear agonies that sonnets
can’t contain. Better for the silken bag
to carry panties than a sodden rag.
These cherry lips, these pretty epithets,
these lines, these petty jewels on coronets!
How can we embrace this gift of the wag
whose feigned fidelity pleads for a shag,
who, when he sings of love, dreams of fishnets?

**Sex in the Sonnet**
Sick of pretty? Hell, fuck that shit, let’s fuck
Pump to the meter of pulsating head,
Writhe, grind rumps into fecund barnyard muck.
My muse talks dirty, and she’s wild in bed.
Too tawdry? Maybe then the sinuous
Somnundulations of the belly dance
Will mesmerize. The sonnet sensuous
Rustles as smooth as silken underpants.

As a collaborative effort, though, this books is a
good example. The two writer’s maintain their
distinct styles and voices which come through even
within the constraint of the sonnet form. As well,
the craft of the poems is impressive; many of the
sonnets come off sounding unencumbered by the
imposed rhyme scheme and rhythmic pattern, and
the writer’s both work well to not let the poetic
form control them. They often make the sonnet
look easy; which is an accomplishment given the
scarcity of pentameter these days.

“To save or to throw away?,” — that was Pound’s
question. I am glad these sonnets were saved from the
dust bin. By the end of the book, and as you open
your mouth to speak again, you may find yourself
searching around for the appropriate rhyme.
Stephen Brockwell

Death of the Postmoderns

in their car to drive
140 in fourth gear
to turn on the tube to watch a ball
game to have a steak with butter
fried to copulate with a very
unattractive member
of the same sex
to accept a beating in a park
to thank the assailant for it
with the kind of eloquence
Voltaire would have been
embarrassed by
to read Edgar Rice Burroughs
but not Tarzan
to serve man
to surf the
webbed feet
in the warm, calm waters
of Clearwater, Florida.

A Socratic Communication Problem
of the Twenty Second Century

I can’t remember
the word for
what I’m doing
scratching
the surface
of whatever
it is you call
this
with the tip
of this thing
in my hand
that leaks
blue
it reminds me
very much of a small
what is that called
again anyhow
those small things
on a shelf
with so much
of whatever
this is
in them
Bill McGillivray’s Trophy Deer

People who live in the city (like you) don’t have a clue what life’s about because death scares them to death. Ha! Squeamish to squash a spider on your daughter’s pillow, I’d bet.

Where do the T-bones on the bar-b come from? A butcher saws them from a hanging carcass. That sounds gross to you? Well, I guess it would if your hands were as soft as the feathers on a swan’s ass. When we’re done with all this, I’ll take you out to the bush and we can hunt.

O, come on, now. It’s not that bad. You wanted honesty. I’d say that’s what I’m giving. Like I said before—“I think I said it” people don’t want to hear the truth. They want to hear comforting words. Back to my trophy. When my brother was up in Ste Agathe back about ’68, I’d have to say, we stayed at my uncle’s hunting cabin one weekend in the spring. My older brother was a tough chap, he loved to rough it out there with the geese, loons, moose, and bears. Not that we saw a bear that trip. But we weren’t always too smart with provisions. A half-cooked burger is as good for flushing bear out of the woods as a case of 50 for a pack of teens. But that’s another story. 5 AM my brother rolled out of his sleeping bag and kicked me in the ribs to get me up. He hated sleep as much as I loved it.

At the time I think I had a Remington .22. He had a new .303.

We hiked for about half an hour up stream from Lac Ouareau and found a patch of spruce to lay low in. A doe stepped down the hill. Her ears were perked but she didn’t spy us. I was frozen in my boots. There was no way I was going to shoot it. A .22 would never have brought her down too easy anyway. It was a good thing my brother had the guts. He shot her good in the neck and she leaped her last few hundred yards. I was quaking in my boots and trying not to cry like a baby. He carried her back to the cabin while I trailed behind, neither of us saying a word for an hour. He lifted her up into the truck bed and drove us home. It was maybe nine. We forgot to eat. We forgot to pee.

I was half surprised we made it home. Our dad beamed like the father of a newborn son when we pulled up in the driveway to the barn. I didn’t have the courage not to watch them dress it. So it was my dad’s trophy for fifteen years until he passed away. My poor brother died of colon cancer when he was thirty three. By rights it was his. It passed to me. I wasn’t going to sell it or throw it in the trash and let it rot.
Only in love is loss made up.  
Only in religion do we ignore it.  
— Unas Historias, *tengo sed*

An absolutely lovely chapbook produced by Jason Dewinetz’ greenboathouse books is Shane Rhodes’ thirty-eight page *tengo sed*, a collection of seventeen poems written while the author lived a year in Mexico. There is a fine tradition of Canadian writers in Mexico (but certainly not as many as have made pilgrimages to Greece), with George Bowering’s *Sitting in Mexico* (published as *IMAGO* #12, 1969), written after two summers spent there in 1964 and 1965, to William Hawkins, who went south with a Canada Council grant (when tequila was only eight cents a shot), to produce a number of the poems in his collection *The Madman’s War* (published by SAW Gallery in 1974), and then of course, Malcolm Lowry (who was technically an American, but who’s counting).

Originally from the west, Shane Rhodes was one of the original editors of *QWERTY* magazine (along with Steve McOrmond, Sue Sinclair, Andy Weaver, Paul Dechene, Darryl Whettler and Eric Hill) during the time he was schooling at the University of New Brunswick in Fredericton, eventually moving back to Calgary, where he was an editor for *filling Station* magazine. It was some time after that, after publishing his first collection, *The Wireless Room* (2000), the first poetry collection that NeWest had published in years, that he went down to Mexico. Around the time of his second collection, *Holding Pattern* (2002), he had returned to Canada, and very soon afterward moved to Ottawa, where he currently lives in the Capital’s Little Italy.

As Rhodes’ writes in the acknowledgments of *tengo sed*:

Why travel? It is more than a question on a form from Immigration, but can I realistically answer it while sitting down? Perhaps it’s for the stories we tell upon our return so that we can sit (as Odysseus in the court of Alcinous) recounting our adventures? Or is it to replace the boredom Pascal notes of sitting quietly in an empty room with the boredom of sitting quietly in an empty bus? Perhaps, in the end, it becomes the same question as “Why write?” I have no answer to this yet, but I’m working on it.

There is a figurative phrase in Spanish (I’m unsure if it is particular to Mexican Spanish) which is used to describe something that brings pleasure: *mío late*—which would translate as *it makes my heart beat*.

Much of this book was written during a year long stay and travels throughout Mexico.

Recently, while in Ottawa driving from one bar to another, Rhodes mentioned an essay he saw reference to, online. Written by a student at the University of Alberta and presented as a paper at a conference in Scotland, on Rhodes’ first collection against Robert Kroetsch’s first, *Stone Hammer Poems*, the piece wrote Shane Rhodes as “Robert Kroetsch’s gay son.” There is a lot to compare between the two as poets, and one can even make comparisons between *tengo sed* and Kroetsch’s chapbook, *Lines Written in the John Snow House* (2002), later included in his trade collection, *The Snowbird Poems* (2004). Rhodes is able to write a lush lyric while still writing in a plain, spoken line, a mixture of statement and lyric flow. Listen to this, the first half of the poem “Cuentas,” that reads:
They agreed, hiking the mountain which overlooked the city spires and silver mines, that their lives were already arthritic with worry.

On the mountaintop, they removed their t-shirts, jeans and underwear to suntan — interrupted only by the goat herds eating brown grass dried tough by summer drought.

And they meant by ”worry” an anxiety that fed from its own imprecision and so became an italicised sadness — as in a life corroded by work, the lack of money, the loss of time — filling a lower case (times new roman) hole.

After an afternoon storm, they dried themselves and descended, stopping only to pick cactus fruit — its cool skin and warm, lucent centre full of pits.

"This is how the middle-aged would live,” she would say at times. "A life of pattern and routine with very little conscious waste.”

And it makes me want a quick end to it: "They returned to their pension (the one with the beaten tile in every room), made dinner and turned out the lights” or "The grey-green pigeons, startled by the evening bells, flew from the gutters to the church spires.”

Kroetsch’s small chapbook was written during a similar trip, another Alberta writer placed but nearly placeness (he has been based in Winnipeg for a number of years), while in Calgary during a writer-in-residence stint; both collections written as familiar and foreign, a self-contained group of poems. Is there a difference?

Before his first collection appeared, what I saw of Rhodes’ work was made up of ”great lines in good poems,” and, with each new publication, has steadily improved. Rhodes has always managed to maintain a loosely-restrained lyric, pulling between that and the underlying (barely contained) energy that runs through his lines, but the pieces in *tango sed* are far more refined, and fuse the two far better than anything he has accomplished previously.

**Carne**

In a Mexico City market, stalls sell tacos made from the meat of cooked goat heads. Beside the grill are piles of eyeless sockets and obstinate looking jaws still with their full array of stained teeth. You eat the meat from the head, a man tells me pointing at the skulls while pushing a taco deep into his mouth, because then at least you know it’s not rat meat.

There does seem a difference between this and the work of his two trade collections; it’s good to watch any writer move outside of themselves and their own histories. There are some pieces in *Holding Pattern* written during his time in Mexico, but in *tengo sed*, it’s exclusively what the poems are from, written both from Mexico and the idea of Mexico (whatever that means), as Rhodes works to place the pieces inside the imagination of where he is. As he writes at the beginning of ”El Mercado En Merida” (p 26):

*a shop sells nothing but coconuts beside men who turn old tires into sandals*

or the short piece “Los Pescadores Y Los Rancheros” (p 21):
The rancher ranches so every two months
he can drive to town and eat shrimp
with beer and batter and cocktail sauce.

The fisherman fishes
for the occasional meal
of chicken and veal.

One of the most interesting pieces in the collection has to be "To Elizabeth Bishop," a long list of a piece that includes lines such as:

Here is a trade. Here is a woman in labour.
Here is trade. Here is a woman’s labour.
Here is a border zone. Here is a pay phone.
Here is free trade. Here is a man getting paid.
Here is a market place. Here is a church.
[. . .]
Here is a tourista. Here is an assembly line.
Here is an assembled line. Here is the blazing divine.
Here is a smokestack. Here is a wire rack.

And ending with:

Here is the beautiful song. Here is the beautiful song.
Here is a room. Here is a man sitting.
Here is his hammock. Here is his beach sand.
Here is his harbour. Here is his coast.

In the night,
the cat-in-heat sang.
In the morning,
the mocking bird sang
of the cat-in-heat.
In the afternoon,
I wrote of the mocking bird.

The ten part poem "Otono" that ends the collection is quite lovely, a flow that connects each fragment but still holds to the disassociation:

In the 1400s, 20,000 human hearts
cut from their chest with obsidian blades

only stopped when the priests fell
from exhaustion. Now
everything I touch –
feldspar, a pen
to write this down —
beats in my hand.

There is nothing
we would not do to keep it

The continuity between breaks flows nicely, adding
weight and depth to the lyric flow, giving the reader the chance to read between the lines, between
the line breaks and the line continuity. As the poem ends, with the two line part “10,” writing:

They will burn
as long as we need them to.

And it does. It does.

---
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